# A catalogue of phonological opacity in Japanese: Version 1.2.\*

# Shigeto Kawahara

#### **Abstract**

Phonological opacity involves a generalization that cannot be stated solely by reference to surface structures. The classic, non-derivational version of Optimality Theory hence does not predict the presence of phonological opacity, as it is surface-oriented. As one possible response to this problem, a thesis has been advanced to the effect that opacity may not exist as a productive synchronic process. Regardless of whether this strong statement is true of human languages or not, it seems clear that the empirical status of phonological opacity needs to be reexamined. In this theoretical context, this paper is intended to (i) offer a catalogue of cases of phonological opacity found in Japanese and (ii) provide information about how likely each case is to be treated as a productive pattern in the synchronic phonology of Japanese. This catalogue generally does not attempt to argue for a definitive answer for each case, but instead provides information that can be used to argue for or against its productivity, so that each researcher can evaluate the likelihood of the synchronic reality of each opaque pattern.

<sup>\*</sup> As readers will see, this paper is partly about reexamination of the empirical foundation of what I worked on in my BA thesis submitted to International Christian University in 2002 (Kawahara, 2002). After more than 10 years, I am pleased to see that my BA thesis was something that was worth looking back at. I would like to take this opportunity to thank Jaye Padgett and Jen Smith once again. They guided me through the writing process back in 2001 when I was a

#### 1 Introduction

Phonological opacity involves a generalization that cannot be made solely by reference to surface structures. The classic, non-derivational version of Optimality Theory (OT: Prince & Smolensky 2004) hence does not predict the presence of phonological opacity, as OT is surface-oriented (see in particular Tesar 2014 for a recent discussion). One type of response proposed by the proponents of OT is to amend the theory, for example, by incorporating derivation back into OT (McCarthy 2007 *et seq*). Another response takes the prediction of the classic OT seriously, and pursues the idea that those opaque patterns that are not predicted by OT may not actually exist. The latter position is crystalized into the thesis that opacity may not exist as a productive synchronic process, as in (1).

visiting undergraduate student at the University of California, Santa Cruz. I am also grateful to John McCarthy, who has influenced my thinking about phonology throughout my graduate career at UMass—it was fortunate that my career coincided with the time when John was entertaining many ideas about opacity (in particular, Sympathy, Comparative Markedness, Harmonic Serialism, and OT-CC). This paper is a result of the confluence of these seeds, which were planted inside of me during my career as a student, and of my recent interests in reexaming the quality of the phonological data—the second factor has been much inspired and influenced by discussions with Paul de Lacy, Bruce Hayes, John Kingston, Jaye Padgett and Joe Pater. This is a catalogue, and I expect that I will keep updating it. When you cite this paper, please make clear the version number. The phonemic transcriptions in this paper follow a widely adopted analysis, except where phonetic details are crucial. This paper is supported by JSPS Kakenhi grants #26770147 and #26284059.

<sup>1</sup> Since the focus of the paper is not to construct a theory of opacity, these descriptions are very much oversimplified. See, for example, Baković (2011) who argues that not all cases of opacity may speak against the classic Optimality Theory.

(1) The Thesis of No Productive Opacity in Phonology:

Phonological opacity does not exist as a synchronically productive phonological pattern. In other words, synchronically, phonological opacity is not psychologically real.

Sanders (2003) explicitly declares this thesis and explores its consequence in Optimality Theory. Green (2004) argues that "[t]he results [of his analysis of Tiberian Hebrew] suggest the possibility that all crosslinguistic instances of apparent opacity can be explained in terms of the phonology-morphology interface and that purely phonological opacity does not exist" (p. 37). Mielke et al. (2003) emphasize the role of historical explanations of opacity, and deemphasize the necessity of explaining opacity synchronically. The thesis in (1) is actually found in some pre-OT literature as well; for example, it also follows from the True Generalization Condition of Natural Generative Phonology, which requires phonological generalizations to be perfectly surface-true (Hooper, 1976). See McCarthy (2007) for discussion and a reply to the thesis in (1) (pp. 1-3 and 12-13).

Whether the thesis in (1) is correct or not, it seems important to reexamine the empirical foundation of opaque patterns in general. Pater and McCarthy (2014) propose to examine more carefully whether opacity exists or not in the synchronic patterns of natural languages. This paper agrees that the quality of phonological data should be more carefully examined in general (de Lacy, 2009, 2014; Kawahara, 2011, 2015). Bruce Hayes, in passing, mentions some statement to this effect in his lecture at "50 Years of Linguistics at MIT", which succinctly summarizes the problem: "We don't understand the opaque languages well enough. In particular, I don't think we fully understand the degree to which the opaque pattern is internalized by language learners, and it is time to do more checking". Padgett (2010) also

<sup>2</sup> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UvQNKTJ598U (see 9:30-10:00)

As Vaux (2008) puts it, "Opaque interactions between phonological processes occur in all known natural languages". It is true that facts that linguists can *describe* with derivational opacity are widespread... But apparent cases of opacity can often, perhaps always, be explained *without* positing opacity. Doing so in some cases might come at a cost that is unacceptable to some. But as arguments are made on one side of the debate or the other based on theory-internal criteria or elegance, the central question that ought to be asked—Is derivational opacity psychologically real?—continues to be little asked, because we are unfortunately in a poor position to answer it (emphasis in the original, quoted from page 4 of the web version).

I agree that the central question should be whether derivational opacity is psychologically real or not, and that this question should be addressed while or before any theory of opacity is built.

To contribute to addressing this research question of whether there are any productive cases of synchronic opacity, this paper (i) offers a catalogue of cases of phonology opacity found in Japanese and (ii) provides information about how likely each case is to treated as a productive pattern in the synchronic phonology of Japanese.<sup>3</sup> This paper generally does not attempt to argue for a definitive answer for each case, but instead provides information that can be used to argue for or against its synchronic productivity as much as possible, so that each researcher can evaluate the likelihood of the reality of each pattern. This paper will also be inclusive, so that it will not miss a

<sup>3</sup> My personal motivation for taking on this task with Japanese phonology is as follows: I find my attitude back in 2002 (Kawahara, 2002)—taking any sound-related patterns as productive and phonological—to be problematic.

potential case of opacity, and/or so that it can explicitly argue that a particular pattern does not have to be treated as opaque.

To facilitate the understanding of each phonological interaction, an SPE formulation (Chomsky & Halle, 1968) of each phonological process is provided, together with references that discuss each pattern and/or the interaction of the two. This paper does not attempt to reproduce or propose an OT analysis, because, again, the focus is the empirical status of each of the opaque patterns; when OT analyses have been proposed in the past, however, this paper tries to cite them as much as possible and describe them briefly. Each section deals with one case of opacity, and sections can be read more or less independently of one another.

## 2 Coda nasalization and emphatic gemination

The two processes are:

- (2) Coda nasalization:  $C_i$  [+voice]  $\rightarrow$  [+nasal] / \_  $C_i$  [+voice]
- (3) Emphatic gemination:  $C_i \rightarrow C_i C_i$  when emphasized.

Coda nasalization is related to the phonotactic restriction that native words, Sino-Japanese words, and mimetic words do not allow voiced geminates (i.e. \*/bb, dd, gg, zz/). (Voiced geminates are allowed in loanwords: Ito & Mester 1995, 1999, 2008; Ito et al. 1995, 1999.) Coda nasalization is observed when an independently motivated gemination process targets a voiced obstruent; the outcome of gemination is a nasal-voiced obstruent cluster. For example, the suffix/ -ri/ causes gemination of the stem-final consonants, as in (4); when the target consonant is a voiced obstruent, however, the coda portion appears as nasalized, as in (5) (Ito & Mester, 1986, 1999; Kawahara, 2006a; Kuroda, 1965; Lombardi, 1998; McCawley, 1968).

(4) Gemination associated with /ri-/

a. /pita(-pita)/ → /pittari/ 'precisely'

```
b. /uka(-uka)/ → /ukkari/ 'absent-mindedly'
```

- c. /biku(-biku)/ → /bikkuri/ 'surprised'
- (5) Coda nasalization
  - a. /zabu(-zabu)/ → /zamburi/ 'heavy rain'
  - b. /nobi(-nobi)/ → /nombiri/ 'leaisurely'
  - c.  $/uza/ \rightarrow /unzari/$  'fed up with'

Emphatic gemination of consonants occurs when speakers express emphasis via gemination (Aizawa, 1985; Kawahara, 2006b, 2013; Kawahara & Braver, 2014; Nasu, 1999). When these processes interact, coda nasalization fails to apply to the outcome of emphatic gemination (Kawahara, 2002), as in (6). Compare/zamburi/ vs. /zabbuuN/ and/unzari/ vs. /uzzai/, each of which share the same root.

- (6) Voiced geminates created by emphatic gemination
  - a. /ya**bb**ai/ 'shit'
  - b. /hiddoi/ 'awful'
  - c. /suggoi/ 'extremely'
  - d. /uzzai/ 'annoying'
  - e. /zabbuuN/ 'splashing'

As in (7), if emphatic gemination is derivationally ordered after coda nasalization, then we get the expected outcome.<sup>5</sup> Emphatic gemination counterfeeds coda nasalization, because if the order was reversed, it would have fed the coda nasalization process. In other words, coda nasalization underapplies.

<sup>4</sup> Speakers can actually lengthen a vowel (e.g. /yaabai/) or both a consonant and a vowel (e.g./ yaabbai/) (Kawahara & Braver, 2013).

<sup>5</sup> Forms like [hindoi] may not be entirely ungrammatical. They sound like words in a non-Tokyo dialect (e.g. dialects that retain prenasalization, like the Tohoku dialects). This feeling is solely due to my intuition and is not substantiated in any objective ways, however.

#### (7) Coda nasalization and emphatic gemination

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/hidoi/	UR	/hidoi/
coda nasalization emphatic gemination	—does not apply— /hiddoi/	emphatic gemination coda nasalization	/hiddoi/ /hindoi/
SR	[hiddoi]	SR	*[hindoi]

Within OT, Kawahara (2002) argued for a system in which optional variants (i.e. emphatic forms) are required to be identical to canonical forms (i.e. non-emphatic forms), by way of a set of violable faithfulness constraints. The idea is similar to that of Benua (1997), but it applies between canonical forms and more colloquial variant forms (see also Steriade 1997 for a similar idea on "the faithfulness to canonical pronunciation").<sup>6</sup>

One question that can be raised about this opaque interaction is whether emphatic gemination is truly phonological—it could instead be captured as a phonetic implementation rule. One reason to suspect that it may be a matter of phonetic implementation comes from the fact that there can be multiple

The core observation of Kawahara (2002) was that an optional process that creates a variant form often results in forms that are not otherwise allowed in the language—the observation dubbed as "the emergence of the marked", obviously mimicing the terminology of McCarthy & Prince (1994), "the emergence of the unmarked". The proposed solution was that optional variants are in general protected by a set of special faithfulness constraints that require the identity between these variant forms and their base forms. The evidence was drawn from various languages beyond Japanese, including English, Sea Dayak, Isthmus Nahuat, Mwera, and Ganda. I am unfortunately not in a position to judge the synchronic reality of these patterns in languages other than Japanese, but my current impression is that my observation in Kawahara (2002) is at least to some degree undermined by the fact that some of the processes that create these optional variants are phonetic processes rather than phonological alternations. For example, I argued that schwa deletion in English creates a cluster that is otherwise forbidden, such as tmato, but schwa deletion in English is demonstrably phonetic gestural reduction (Davidson, 2006) rather than phonological deletion (Hammond, 1999).

degrees of lengthening, beyond a usual short-long binary distinction; e.g. [hiddoi], [hidddoi], [hidddoi], etc. (Kawahara & Braver, 2013, 2014).

However, there are also reasons to suspect that emphatic gemination is phonological as well. First, emphatic gemination by default targets left-most geminable consonants in such away that it makes the initial syllable heavy (e.g. /patta-pata/ rather than/patappata/ 'flipping') (Kawahara, 2006b, 2013; Nasu, 1999), and this directionality preference implies the influence of some sort of prosodic wellformedness (e.g. requiring heavy syllables to be word-initial: Alber 2002; Beckman 1998; Zoll 1998). Also, emphatic gemination can avoid kinds of geminates that are marked (e.g. fricative geminates, nasal geminates, and even voiced geminates), when possible (Kawahara, 2006b, 2013; Nasu, 1999). For example, /kune-kune/ 'skewed' and /tubu-tubu/ 'granular' are often geminated as /kunek-kune/ and /tubut-tubu/, respectively, which shows that the gemination pattern avoids kinds of geminates that are phonologically marked.

I also note that when the target of emphatic gemination is /g/, it is possible to get coda nasalization; e.g. /sungoi/ 'super',<sup>7</sup> suggesting that the constraint against geminate /gg/ is not totally inactive in this context; i.e., in a sense, the "wrong ordering" in (7) is not entirely impossible for /g/. We probably would not expect this "re-ordering" if emphatic gemination was purely a matter of phonetic implementation.<sup>8</sup>

## 3 Postnasal voicing and syncope

The two processes under question are:

<sup>7</sup> Nasal place assimilation not shown here or elsewhere in this paper.

<sup>8</sup> Unless we follow the view of Anderson (1975), recently revived by McCarthy (2011), in which phonetic implementation rules and phonological rules can be interleaved; i.e. phonetic rules can precede phonological rules.

- (8) Postnasal voicing: C → [+voice] / [+nasal] \_
- (9) Syncope:  $V \rightarrow \phi$  in some environments

Postnasal voicing is found across many languages (Pater, 1999). In Japanese, the native vocabulary (also known as Yamato words) almost always follow this restriction in such a way that all consonants after a nasal are voiced (Ito & Mester, 1995, 1999, 2008; Ito et al., 1995, 1999). Post-nasal voicing is observed in the past tense formation, as in (10). The past tense /-ta/ is realized as /da/ after a nasal consonant. Other suffixes that undergo post-nasal voicing are /-tari/ 'continuative', /-tara/ 'conditional', and /-te/ 'gerundive' (McCawley, 1968). Postnasal voicing is also observed in the context of verbal root compounding, as in (11) (Ito & Mester, 1999; Ito et al., 1999).

- (10) Postnasal voicing in Japanese: past tense formation
  - a. /tabe+ta/ → /tabeta/ 'ate'
  - b. /sin+ta/ → /sinda/ 'died'
  - c. /kam+ta $/ \rightarrow /$ ka**nd**a/ 'bit'
- (11) Postnasal voicing in Japanese: verb root compounding
  - a. /hun+sibaru/ → /hun+zibaru/ 'to bind tightly'
  - b. /hun+tukeru/ → /hun+dukeru/ 'to stamp upon'
  - c. /hun+haru/ → /hun+baru/ 'to resist'
  - d. /hun+kiru/ → /hun+giru/ 'to give up'

Optional syncope more or less sporadically deletes a vowel of some words in casual speech. This syncope process can create a nasal-stop cluster, and Kawahara (2002) points out that even in Yamato-words, post-nasal voicing fails to apply to such clusters, as in (12).

- (12) Optional syncope and (the lack of) postnasal voicing
  - a. /anata/ → /anta/ 'you'
  - b. /nani+ka/ → /nanka/ 'something'
  - c.  $/nani+to/ \rightarrow /nanto/$  'with what'

- d. /anosa:/  $\rightarrow$  /ansa:/ 'hey (very casual)'
- e. /ani+san/ → /ansan/ 'brother'
- f. /ani+tyan/ → /antyan/ 'brother'

Derivationally speaking, postnasal voicing should precede syncope, so that by the time syncope creates an environment for postnasal voicing, it has missed its chances to apply. In other words, syncope counterfeeds postnasal voicing, or postnasal voicing underapplies.

#### (13) Postnasalvoicing and syncope

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/anata/	UR	/anata/
postnasal voicing syncope	—does not apply—/anta/	syncope postnasal voicing	/anta/ /anda/
SR	[anta]	SR	*[anda]

Within OT, Kawahara (2002) offers a solution to this underapplication by using a some sort of output-output faithfulness constraint (Benua, 1997) that requires an optional variant to be identical to the canonical variant.

There are a few concerns about treating this case as a synchronically active process of opacity. First, there are only a handful of examples that instantiate this interaction: those that are shown in (12) are more or less exhaustive. It may also be of some significance that *all* nasal-obstruent clusters created by syncope fail to undergo postnasal voicing.

The second problem is that it is debatable whether postnasal voicing is an active synchronic phonological process in Japanese at all. Not only does postnasal voicing fail to apply to non-native words, but there are some native lexical items that do not show postnasal voicing (Fukazawa et al., 2002). Moreover, in a nonce verb inflection experiment reported by Vance (1987), only 24 out of 50 participants showed post-nasal voicing in response to a

<sup>9</sup> Let us recall ourselves, however, that six is—or perhaps was—a big number in linguistic argumentation, as Pullum (1991: 85-86) argues convincingly with 6 or 7 examples.

nonce verb /hom-u/: 14 participants just added /ta/ to the whole verb (/homuta/) and 12 deleted the nasal (/hota/). However, Tateishi (2003) and Fukazawa & Kitahara (2005) argue that postnasal voicing may be active in the adaptation of English plural suffix 's in that it is more likely to be borrowed as /zu/ after /n/ (e.g. /jiin+zu/'jeans') than after a vowel (e.g. /sokku+su/'socks'). Here it seems that we have conflicting pieces of evidence for the productivity of postnasal voicing in Japanese phonology.

On top of this problem, syncope is also a sporadic phenomenon, and as far as I know, nobody has formulated the precise environments in which vowels are syncopated.

### 4 Epenthesis and velar deletion

This interaction is observed in verbal conjugation patterns, in particular in stems ending with velar consonants, /k, g/. These stems trigger an epenthesis of /i/ when concatenated with suffixes that begin with /t/, like /ta/ (pastt ense). In addition, velars delete after a stem vowel (in the past tense formation).<sup>10</sup>

- (14) Epenthesis:  $\phi \rightarrow /i// [velar] \_ C$
- (15) Velar deletion: [velar]  $\rightarrow \phi$  /V \_ (in some verbal conjugation environments)

Derivationally speaking, epenthesis needs to precede velar deletion; velar deletion counterbleeds epenthesis, or epenthesis overapplies. Intuitively speaking, this case is opaque because /k/ triggers the epenthesis of /i/, but it is deleted at the surface; why is it necessary to insert a vowel in the first

<sup>10</sup> McCawley (1968) proposed to change velar stops first into /h/ and posited a general rule that inserts a vowel after a fricative and before a consonant. This analysis is motivated by the fact that /s/-final stems also trigger epenthesis; e.g. /kas+i+ta/ 'lend'.

place if there is no consonant cluster to break up at the surface?<sup>11</sup> One answer could be that the vowel is inserted before the consonant gets deleted. This rule-ordering analysis of this opacity is found in Davis & Tsujimura (1991), which is framed within Autosegmental Phonology (Goldsmith, 1976).

#### (16) Epenthesis andvelar deletion

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/kak+ta/	UR	/kak+ta/
epenthesis velar deletion	/kakita/ /kaita/	velar deletion epenthesis	/kata/ —does not apply—
SR	[kaita]	SR	*[kata]

One problem with this allegedly opaque interaction is its productivity. It is well-known that verbal conjugation patterns in Japanese are not fully replicated in experiments using nonce words (Batchelder, 1999; Griner, 2005; Vance, 1987, 1991), and it is likely that Japanese speakers simply memorize all the inflected forms.

In the first nonce-word study of verbal conjugation patterns in Japanese, Vance (1987) found that (only) 31 out of 50 participants showed epenthesis when conjugating a nonce verb /hok-u/. Other responses were /hokutta/ (16) or /hota/ (3). A follow-up study by Vance (1991) also shows that native Japanese speakers do not ambiguously choose the correct past tense form for a /k/-final stem. A later study by Griner (2005) showed even fewer "correct" epenthesis responses, actually about 10%. A consensus that is emerging from these studies is that Japanese speakers have a hard time conjugating /k/-final nonce verbs correctly, raising the possibility that verb conjugation patterns are not rule-governed. To borrow the words of Vance (1991, p. 156), "[his experimental result] is consistent with the claim that even morphologically regular Japanese verb forms are stored in the lexicon."

<sup>11</sup> This overapplication of epenthesis is similar to the case of Tiberian Hebrew that McCarthy (1999) uses to illustrate Sympathy Theory.

One can nevertheless argue that as long as there is a single individual speaker who shows expected patterns, that should suffice, because every individual grammar should bear on the architecture of Universal Grammar. However, personally, I find this attitude worrisome, because it can easily lead to a cherry-picking strategy in linguistic argumentation. Also, this sort of strategy can lead to the problem of non-replicability. Moreover, even those individuals who conjugated Japanese nonce verbs correctly in the above-mentioned experiments may have done so via lexical analogy.

Also, it is not necessarily the case that this epenthesis+deletion view is the right analysis, although historically speaking, this is indeed what happened (\*/kakitari/ → /kaita/) (Vance, 1987). For example, one could imagine that synchronically speaking, /k/ is mapped directly to /i/ as an extreme case of lenition (Griner, 2005).

Finally, we can formulate the velar deletion as applying to intervocalic position. Then, in the wrong ordering, velar deletion would not apply, unless epenthesis occurs, which may make the rule ordering unnecessary. This case is probably still opaque within OT, because epenthesis is required to "rescue" the coda /k/—most likely from the violation of the CodaCond which prohibits an independent place feature in coda (Ito, 1986, 1989)—but that coda /k/ is deleted at surface; in the words of McCarthy (1999), the cause of epenthesis is not "surface-apparent".

## 5 Voicing assimilation and velar deletion

Stems ending with /g/ involve yet another layer of opacity that stems

<sup>12</sup> Cf. the discussion of stress pattern in Kelkar's Hindi, which is arguably based on the data from a single individual, Kelkar (1968). This pattern is subsequently cited and discussed by some important work on Metrical Phonology, with some cautionary remarks (Hayes, 1995; Prince & Smolensky, 2004).

ending with /k/ do not. In addition to the overapplication of epenthesis, the suffix-initial consonants are voiced. This voicing can potentially be analyzed as voicing assimilation caused by /g/ (Davis & Tsujimura, 1991; McCawley, 1968; Griner, 2005).

- (17) Voicing assimilation:  $C \rightarrow [+voice] / [+voice] \_$
- (18) Epenthesis:  $\phi \rightarrow /i// [velar] \_ C$
- (19) Velar deletion: [velar]  $\rightarrow \phi$  /V\_ (in some verbal conjugation environments)

Derivationally speaking, voicing assimilation needs to precede epenthesis, to the extent that we want to keep the voicing assimilation local, rather than allowing it to apply across a vowel (see Gafos 1999). In this view, epenthesis counterbleeds voicing assimilation, and as a result voicing assimilation overapplies. See again Davis & Tsujimura (1991) for the ordering-based analysis of this conjugation pattern.

#### (20) Voicing assimilation and velar deletion

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/kag+ta/	UR	/kag+ta/
voicing assimilation epenthesis velar deletion	/kagda/ /kagida/ /kaida/	epenthesis voicing assimilation velar deletion	/kagita/ —does not apply— /kaita/
SR	[kaida]	SR	*[kaita]

Within OT, an analysis using Max(voice) is offered by Lombardi (1998). In this analysis, even though the host of the [+voice] feature (e.g. /g/) is deleted, the feature itself migrates onto the suffix-initial consonant.

Regarding the quality of this data for phonological argumentation, the same problem as the /k/-final stem arises: whether these "phonological

<sup>13</sup> Some analyses assume that post-nasal voicing that occurs for /b/-final stems (e.g. /tob-u/ → /tonda/ 'flied') should also be subsumed under this rule; i.e. voicing assimilation is not triggered by surface /n/ but by underlying /b/ (Davis & Tsujimura, 1991; McCawley, 1968). If this were the case, then the rule should be formulated locally, because /b/-final stems do not yield epenthesis.

patterns" are actually internalized by native speakers as phonological patterns. A nonce word experiment by Griner (2005) found that only about 30% of the responses involve the "correct" conjugation patterns with both epenthesis and voicing assimilation for /g/-final stems.

#### 6 Rendaku and velar nasalization

The next type of opacity concerns rendaku, and its blockage.

- (21) Rendaku:  $C[-son] \rightarrow [+voice]$  in compound initial position.
- (22) Lyman's Law: Rendaku is blocked when E2 has [+voice, -son].
- (23) Velar nasalization:  $/g/ \rightarrow [n] / V_V$

Rendaku is an extremely well-studied morphophonological process in which the initial obstruents of second members (=E2) of compounds become voiced, as in (24). Lyman's Law blocks rendaku when there is already another voiced obstruent in the second member, as in (25) (there are simply too many references on rendaku and Lyman's Law; see Irwin (to appear) and Vance (2015) and references cited therein.).

#### (24) Rendaku

- a. /oo-tako/ → /oo-dako/ 'big octopus'
- b. /oo-sara/ → /oo-zara/ 'big dish'
- c. /hosi-sora/ → /hosi-zora/ 'sky with stars'
- d. /aka-kami → /aka-gami/ 'red paper'
- e. /tome-kane/ → /tome-gane/ 'fixing steal'

#### (25) Blockage of rendaku by Lyman's Law

- a. /aka-tamago/ → /aka-tamago/ 'red egg'
- b. /aka-kabu/ → /aka-kabu/ 'red radish'
- c. /natu-kaze/ → /natu-kaze/ 'wind in summer'
- d. /yama-kazi/ → /yama-kazi/ 'mountain fire'

In some dialects of Japanese, including "the classical Tokyo dialect,"

intervocalic /g/ becomes [ŋ] (Ito & Mester, 1997a; McCawley, 1968; Vance, 1987). This segment [ŋ] is not a voiced obstruent, but it still blocks rendaku, as in [saka-toŋe] 'reverse thorn' and [oo-tokaŋe] 'big lizard'. This interaction is opaque in the sense that the surface [ŋ] acts as if it is a voiced obstruent in that it triggers Lyman's Law, although its surface realization is a sonorant 14—the other nasals, [m] and [n], do not trigger Lyman's Law, as in (24d, e). In other words, the blockage of rendaku due to Lyman's Law overapplies and rendaku underapplies, despite the application of velar nasalization.

#### (26) Rendaku andvelar nasalization

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/saka+toge/	UR	/saka+toge/
rendaku velar nasalization	—blocked by LL—/saka+tone/	velar nasalization rendaku	/saka+toŋe/ /saka+doŋe/
SR	[saka+toŋe]	SR	*[saka+doŋe]

A sympathy analysis of this opaque interaction has been proposed by Ito & Mester (1997b) and Honma (2001), which is also touched upon by McCarthy (1999). A Stratal-OT analysis, incorporating the distinction between lexical phonology and post-lexical phonology has been pursued by Ito & Mester (2003)—rendaku is considered to occur in the lexical phonology whereas velar nasalization occurs in the post-lexical phonology.

One interesting challenge that this opaque pattern presents to Optimality Theory is as follows. Since [g] and [ŋ] are in an allophonic relationship (i.e. in complementary distribution), we should consider a case in which [ŋ] appears in the input; e.g. /toŋe/. It seems natural to think that this input is mapped

<sup>14</sup> Historically speaking, this opaque behavior of Lyman's Law makes sense. In Old Japanese intervocalic voiced obstruents were pre-nasalized, and Lyman's Law was thus dissimilation of nasality (Unger, 1975; Vance, 2005). Therefore, it was natural that [ŋ] triggered (old) Lyman's Law, because Lyman's Law was about nasality.

faithfully to /toŋe/, because this is a well-formed output. Then, in order to block rendaku, the underlying /ŋ/ has to be changed to /g/, and then has to turn back to /ŋ/ (Honma, 2001; Ito & Mester, 2003). This pattern would thus instantiate a "Duke-of-Gambit" derivation (Pullum, 1976) (schematically, /A/  $\rightarrow$  /B/  $\rightarrow$  [A]), whose existence is debatable (McCarthy, 2003; Rubach, 2003; Wilson, 2000). This opacity pattern is analyzable under a Stratal-OT analysis (Ito & Mester, 1997b) or a Sympathy analysis with a markedness selector constraint (Honma, 2001), but not when Sympathy selector constraints are restricted to faithfulness constraints (McCarthy, 1999).

This opaque interaction is, in my opinion, is probably the most robust case of phonological opacity in Japanese phonology, as long as rendaku can be considered phonological rather than (entirely) lexical (for which, see Kawahara 2015 and Vance 2014, who argue that rendaku is at least partly phonological). There are nevertheless some ways out. One is to posit that  $/\eta$ / is an obstruent, even at the surface level, although this postulation is phonetically probably not true (i.e. intervocalic [ $\eta$ ] does not involve intraoral air pressure rise that would make spontaneous voicing difficult: Chomsky & Halle 1968). One could also argue that velar nasalization is entirely a matter of phonetic implementation, and hence /g/ is /g/ throughout its phonological derivation. This hypothesis would have a problem dealing with the fact that velar nasalization is affected by some morphological conditions (Ito & Mester 1997a and references cited therein). Another way out is to formulate Lyman's

This assumption does not necessarily hold—the Richness of the Base (Prince & Smolensky, 2004) does not require that /toŋe/ be mapped faithfully; it merely requires that it is mapped to some wellformed output, e.g. [tone]. However, why /toŋe/ has to be mapped unfaithfully, when [toŋe] is a wellformed output, would be a challenge to explain.

<sup>16</sup> /ŋ/ would have to "march up to the top of the hill and become [g] to block rendaku," and "march down again to become /ŋ/".

<sup>17</sup> This speculation has not been tested instrumentally, however, as far as I know.

Law in such away that it includes surface [n], but this postulation would miss the generalization that [n] is derived from a voiced obstruent.

Yet another way out is to say that Lyman's Law is about orthography prohibiting two diacritics within a morpheme (see Kawahara 2015 for pros and cons of this view): voiced obstruents, as well as /ŋ/, are written with a diacritic mark in Japanese orthography, and hence triggers Lyman's Law. This formulation of Lyman's Law based on orthography may at first sound absurd to many practicing phonologists, but it does come with virtues. First, it accounts for the fact that Lyman's Law systematically ignores voicing in sonorants, because sonorant voicing is not expressed with a diacritic mark in Japanese orthography. It also accounts for why Lyman's-Law driven devoicing of geminates (Kawahara, 2006a; Nishimura, 2006) also targets the configuration /p...dd.../ (Fukazawa et al., 2015; Kawahara & Sano, 2014), because /p/ is also written with a diacritic mark. Finally, there is a certain sense in which rendaku, which is closely related to Lyman's Law, receives a unitary expression in terms of orthography (Vance, 2007, to appear). See Kawahara (2015) for extensive discussion on this orthographic explanation of rendaku and Lyman's Law.

## 7 Compensatory lengthening

Japanese has several cases of compensatory lengthening, and compensatory lengthening is opaque to the extent that (i) it is conceived of as "mora count preservation" (Hayes, 1989) and that (ii) vowels are not associated with moras underlyingly. The two processes involved are:

- (27) Mora assignment: V becomes moraic.
- (28) Avowel deletes or becomes a glide; a neighboring vowel soaks up its mora.

Some cases of compensatory lengthening in Japanese are illustrated in (29)

and (30):

- (29) /riu/ → [rjuu]
  - a. /barium/ → [barjuumu] 'barium'
  - b. /aruminium/ → [aruminjuumu] 'alminum'
  - c. /opium/ → [opjuum] 'opium'
- (30) Labial deletion+glide formation+compensatory lengthening
  - a.  $/\text{reba}/ \rightarrow [\text{rjaa}]$  'if'
  - b.  $/\text{dewa}/ \rightarrow [\text{djaa}]$  'then'
  - c. /kore+wa/ → [korjaa] 'this-TOPIC'
  - d. /ni+wa/ → [njaa] 'DATIVE-TOPIC'
  - e. schematically: /V[-back] C[+labial] a/  $\rightarrow$  /V[-back]a/  $\rightarrow$  /Vjaa/

These cases of compensatory lengthening in Japanese have been discussed at length in the pre-OT literature (Miyara, 1980; Poser, 1986, 1988; Shibatani, 1990; Vance, 1987). Illustrative derivations are shown below.

#### (31) Compensatory lengthening

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/riu/	UR	/riu/
moraification glide formation	/ri(μ)u(μ)/ /rju(μμ)/	glide formation moraification	/rju/ /rju(μ)/
SR	[rjuu]	SR	*[rju]

If mora assignment happens after glide formation, as in the wrong ordering, then there is only one vowel to assign a mora to—in this sense, glide formation (partially) counterbleeds mora assignment.<sup>18</sup> Treating compensatory lengthening as a case of opacity appears in several works (Kawahara, 2002; Shaw, 2009; Sprouse, 1997). An easy way out for this Japanese case, however, is to posit that vowels are underlyingly moraic. This

<sup>18</sup> A similar problem arises with cases of vowel coalescence, the result of which is usually a long vowel (Hayes, 1990; Kawahara, 2002). If melodic features fuse before mora assignment, then there is only one set of featural melody, and nothing prohibits the resulting melody from receiving only one mora.

postulation probably does not impinge upon the Richness of the Base hypothesis (McCarthy, 2005; Prince & Smolensky, 2004; Smolensky, 1996), to the extent that vowels are universally moraic–that this restriction is universal, not language-specific. Indeed this postulation may be necessary if moraicity distinguishes glides from vowels.

More problematic is a case in which the deletion of coda consonants would lead to compensatory lengthening, because it is presumably problematic to postulate underlying syllabification (Blevins, 1995; Hayes, 1989; McCarthy, 2003) and assign a mora to coda consonants (Shaw, 2009; Sprouse, 1997).

#### 8 Vowel coalescence and exclamative formation

- (32) Exclamative formation: stem+ /?/(involving deletion of the present suffix)
- (33) Vowel coalescence: /ai/, /oi/ → [ee]

Japanese speakers can form exclamatives by taking a bare adjectival stem without any inflectional ending, with an additional word-final glottal stop, as in (34). As a result of this word formation, the present suffix /i/ looks deleted.

- (34) Exclamative formation
  - a. /suppa-i/ 'sour (present)' vs. /suppa?/ 'sour!'
  - b. /sugo-i/ amazing (present)' vs. /sugo?/ 'amazing!'

In casual speech, stem-final vowels and the present suffix /i/ can be fused into one long vowel; e.g., /suppai/ → /supee/ and /sugoi/ → /sugee/ (Kawahara, 2002). Exclamative formation can take the output of this vowel coalescence, and insert a glottal stop with concomitant closed syllable shortening; i.e. /suppe?/ and /suge?/. The interaction can be considered as opaque, as illustrated in (35).

#### (35) Vowelcoalescence and exclamative formation

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/suppai/	UR	/suppai/
vowel coalescence exclamative	/suppee/ /suppe?/	exclamative vowel coalescence	/suppa?/ —does not apply—
SR	/suppe?/	SR	*[suppa?]

Exclamative formation would delete the suffixal vowel /i/, and therefore if it applies first, as in the wrong ordering in (35), there is no reason for coalescence to occur. However, vowel coalescence can occur (it does not have to—forms like [suppa?] are possible). The application of vowel coalescence is therefore not surface-apparent (McCarthy, 1999) in the sense that vowel coalescence occurs without the trigger, the suffixal [i]. This is overapplication of vowel coalescence and counterbleeding opacity.

One way to avoid treating this interaction as opaque is to posit that forms that have undergone vowel coalescence (i.e. [suppee] and [sugee]) are already stored in the lexicon, and exclamative formation applies to these forms. Alternatively, we can deploy an Output-Output faithfulness constraint (Benua, 1997) to "transfer" the vowel quality created by vowel coalescence in the present form to the exclamative form.

## 9 High vowel "deletion" and palatalization

Not many Japanese phonologists would even think that the following is a case of opacity, but to the extent that what is usually known as "high vowel devoicing" involves deletion, then it instantiates a case of counterbleeding opacity. Since, to the ears of non-native speakers, high vowel devoicing sounds like complete deletion (Beckman, 1982; Beckman & Shoji, 1984), and since a famous introductory textbook uses this sort of interaction to illustrate rule ordering (Tsujimura, 2014), I am going to provide a brief discussion. Let

us take palatalization of /s/ before /i/ as an example.<sup>19</sup>

- (36) High vowel devoicing: [+high]  $\rightarrow \phi$  / [-voice] \_ [-voice].
- (37) Palatalization:  $/s/ \rightarrow [f] / _ /i/.$

High vowels delete—or devoice—between two voiceless obstruents, and /s/ becomes palatalized before this "deleted" /i/. Therefore, palatalization needs to precede vowel deletion:

#### (38) Palatalization and highvowel deletion

The right ordering		The wrong ordering	
UR	/sika/	UR	/sika/
palatalization high vowel deletion	/∫ika/ /∫ka/	high vowel deletion palatalization	/ska/ —does not apply—
SR	[ʃka]	SR	*[ska]

This counterbleeding opacity is real, only to the extent that the "deletion rule" involves phonological deletion. However, evidence suggests that it is probably better to treat the process as devoicing rather than wholesale deletion. Nakamura (2003) presents electropalatographic evidence which shows traces of the devoiced vowels' oral gestures. Beckman & Shoji (1984) and Tsuchida (1994) show that these vocalic gestures are perceptible at a level that is above chance (see Fujimoto 2015 for a recent overview of high vowel devoicing in Japanese). Moreover, the devoiced vowels count as moraic for the calculation of a bimoraic minimality requirement: a loanword truncation pattern based on a bimoraic template (Poser, 1990) counts devoiced vowels as containing one mora (e.g. [suto] 'strike'). It is thus probably safe to conclude that there is no phonological deletion here, hence no opacity.

<sup>19</sup> Other processes that would interact with high vowel deletion include affrication of /t/ before high vowels and patalization of /t/ after /i/.

#### 10 Conclusion

Provided below is a summary table:<sup>20</sup>

Table 1: A summary table

Processes involved	Interaction	Notes
coda nasalization & gemination	counterfeeding	is gemination phonological?
postnasal voicing & syncope	counterfeeding	only six examples
epenthesis & velar deletion	counterbleeding	not productive in nonce words?
epenthesis & voicing assimilation	counterbleeding	not productive in nonce words?
rendaku & velar nasalization	rendaku overapplies	are rendaku and LL phonological?
compensatory lengthening	counterbleeding	may not be opaque
vowel coalescence & exclamative	counterbleeding	base forms stored in the lexicon?
palatalization & vowel deletion	counterbleeding	it may not be deletion

Does opacity exist in the phonology of Japanese as a productive synchronic process? We do not know, but no cases can, it seems, be treated as synchronically productive cases of opacity without a doubt. Evidence is, as is usually the case with other linguistic argumentation, mixed. My hope in writing this catalogue, however, is "to put out as much as possible on the table", so that other linguists can make more explicit argumentation about the existence of phonological opacity in Japanese (and perhaps beyond).

#### References

Aizawa, Yoshiko (1985) Intensification by so-called "choked sounds"—long consonants—in Japanese. In *The Study of Sounds 21*, The Phonetic Society of Japan, ed., 313-324.

<sup>20</sup> I have limited the discussion to Standard, Tokyo Japanese. For possible cases of opacity in other dialects of Japanese, see Kawahara & Hara (2009) (Hiroshima Japanese) and Sasaki (2008) (Mitsukaido Japanese). The reason that I am not including these cases in my catalogue is because I cannot offer additional information beyond those that are reported in the original references.

- Alber, Birgit (2002) Maximizing first positions. In *Proceedings of HILP*, vol.5, Caroline Fery, ed., Potsdam: Universitaetsverlag Potsdam Publications, 1-19.
- Anderson, Stephen (1975) On the interaction of phonological rules of various types. *Journal of Linguistics* 11: 39-62.
- Baković, Eric (2011) Opacity and ordering. In *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*, 2nd Edition, John A. Goldsmith, Jason Riggle, & Alan Yu, eds., Oxford: Blackwell-Wiley, 40-67.
- Batchelder, Eleanor Olds (1999) Rule or rote? Native-speaker knowledge of Japanese verb inflection. *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Cognitive Science*.
- Beckman, Jill (1998) *Positional Faithfulness*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Beckman, Mary (1982) Segmental duration and the 'mora' in Japanese. *Phonetica* **39**: 113-135.
- Beckman, Mary & Atsuko Shoji (1984) Spectral and perceptual evidence for CV coarticulation in devoiced /si/ and /syu/ in Japanese. *Phonetica* 41: 61-71.
- Benua, Laura (1997) Transderivational Identity: Phonological Relations between Words. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Blevins, Juliette (1995) The syllable in phonological theory. In *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*, John A. Goldsmith, ed., Cambridge, Mass., and Oxford, UK: Blackwell, 206-244.
- Chomsky, Noam & MorisHalle (1968) *The Sound Pattern of English*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Davidson, Lisa (2006) Schwa elision in fast speech: Segmental deletion or gestural overlap. *Phonetica* **63**(2-3): 79-112.
- Davis, Stuart & Natsuko Tsujimura (1991) An autosegmental account of Japanese verbal conjugation. *Journal of Japanese Linguistics* 13: 117-44.
- de Lacy, Paul (2009) Phonological evidence. In *Phonological Argumentation: Essays* on *Evidence and Motivation*, Steve Parker, ed., London: Equinox, 43-77.
- de Lacy, Paul (2014) Evaluating evidence for stress system. In *Word stress: Theoeretical and typological issues*, Harry van der Hulst, ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 149-193.
- Fujimoto, Masako (2015) Vowel devoicing. In *The Handbook of Japanese Language* and Linguistics: Phonetics and Phonology, Haruo Kubozono, ed., Mouton.
- Fukazawa, Haruka, Shigeto Kawahara, Mafuyu Kitahara, & Shin-ichiro Sano (2015)

- Two is too much: [p]-driven geminate devoicing in Japanese. On-in Kenkyu [Phonological Studies] 18.
- Fukazawa, Haruka & Mafuyu Kitahara (2005) Ranking paradoxes in consonant voicing in Japanese. In *Voicing in Japanese*, Jeroen Van de Weijer, Kensuke Nanjo, & Tetsuo Nishihara, eds., Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 105-122.
- Fukazawa, Haruka, Mafuyu Kitahara, & Mitsuhiko Ota (2002) Acquisition of phonological sub-lexica in Japanese: An OT account. In *Proceedings of the Third Tokyo Conference on Psycholinguistics*, Yukio Otsu, ed., Tokyo: Hitsuji Shobo, 97-114.
- Gafos, Adamantios (1999) The Articulatory Basis of Locality in Phonology. New York: Garland.
- Goldsmith, John (1976) Autosegmental Phonology. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.
- Green, Antony (2004) Opacity in Tiberian Hebrew: Morphology, not phonology. ZAS Papers in Linguistics 37: 37-70.
- Griner, Barry (2005) *Productivity of Japanese verb tense inflection: A case study*. MA thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Hammond, Michael (1999) The phonology of English: A prosodic Optimality-Theoretic approach. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hayes, Bruce (1989) Compensatory lengthening in moraic phonology. *Linguistic Inquiry* 20: 253-306.
- Hayes, Bruce (1990) Diphthongization and coindexing. *Phonology* 7: 31-71.
- Hayes, Bruce (1995) *Metrical Stress Theory: Principles and Case Studies*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Honma, Takeru (2001) How should we represent 'g' in toge in Japanese underlyingly? In *Issues in Japanese Phonology and Morphology*, Jeroen Van de Weijer & Tetsuo Nishihara, eds., Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 67-84.
- Hooper, Joan (1976) An Introduction to Natural Generative Phonology. New York: Academic Press.
- Irwin, Mark (to appear) A rendaku bibliography. In *Perspectives on rendaku: Sequential voicing in Japanese compounds*, Timothy Vance & Mark Irwin, eds., Berlin: Mouton.
- Ito, Junko (1986) Syllable Theory in Prosodic Phonology. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Ito, Junko (1989) A prosodic theory of epenthesis. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 7: 217-259.

- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (1986) The phonology of voicing in Japanese: Theoretical consequences for morphological accessibility. *Linguistic Inquiry* 17: 49-73.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (1995) Japanese phonology. In *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*, John Goldsmith, ed., Oxford: Blackwell, 817-838.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (1997a) Correspondence and compositionality: The gagyo variation in Japanese phonology. In *Derivations and Constraints in Phonology*, Iggy Roca, ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 419-462.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (1997b) Featural sympathy: Feeding and counterfeeding interactions in Japanese. *Phonology at Santa Cruz* 5: 29-36.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (1999) The phonological lexicon. In *The Handbook of Japanese Linguistics*, Natsuko Tsujimura, ed., Oxford: Blackwell, 62-100.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (2003) Lexical and postlexical phonology in Optimality Theory: Evidence from Japanese. *Linguistische Berichte* 11: 183-207.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (2008) Lexical classes in phonology. In *The Oxford Handbook of Japanese Linguistics*, Shigeru Miyagawa & Mamoru Saito, eds., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 84-106.
- Ito, Junko, Armin Mester, & Jaye Padgett (1995) Licensing and underspecification in Optimality Theory. *Linguistic Inquiry* **26**(4): 571-614.
- Ito, Junko, Armin Mester, & Jaye Padgett (1999) Lexical classes in Japanese: A reply to Rice. *Phonology at Santa Cruz* **6**: 39-46.
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2002) Similarity among Variants: Output-Variant Correspondence, BA thesis, International Christian University, Tokyo Japan.
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2006a) A faithfulness ranking projected from a perceptibility scale: The case of [+voice] in Japanese. *Language* 82(3): 536-574.
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2006b) Mimetic gemination in Japanese: A challenge for Evolutionary Phonology. *Theoretical Linguistics* **32**(1): 411-424.
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2011) Japanese loanword devoicing revisited: A rating study. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 29(3): 705-723.
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2013) Emphatic gemination in Japanese mimetic words: A wugtest with auditory stimuli. *Language Sciences* **40**: 24-35.
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2015) Can we use rendaku for phonological argumentation? *Linguistic Vanguard*.
- Kawahara, Shigeto & Aaron Braver (2013) The phonetics of emphatic vowel lengthening in Japanese. *Open Journal of Modern Linguistics* **3**(2): 141-148.
- Kawahara, Shigeto & Aaron Braver (2014) Durational properties of emphatically-

- lengthened consonants in Japanese. *Journal of International Phonetic Association* 44(3): 237-260.
- Kawahara, Shigeto & Yurie Hara (2009) Hiatus resolution in Hiroshima Japanese. In *Proceedings of North East Linguistic Society 38*, Anisa Schardl, Martin Walkow, & Muhammad Abdurrahman, eds., Amherst: GLSA Publications, 475-486.
- Kawahara, Shigeto & Shin-ichiro Sano (2014) /p/-driven geminate devoicing in Japanese: Corpus and experimental evidence. Ms. Keio University.
- Kelkar, A.R. (1968) Studies in Hindi-Urdu: Introduction and word phonology. Poona: Deccan College.
- Kuroda, S.-Y. (1965) Generative Grammatical Studies in the Japanese Language. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.
- Lombardi, Linda (1998) Evidence for MaxFeature constraints from Japanese. Ms. University of Maryland.
- McCarthy, John J. (1999) Sympathy and phonological opacity. Phonology 16: 331-399.
- McCarthy, John J. (2003) Sympathy, cumulativity, and the Duke-of-York gambit. In *The Syllable in Optimality Theory*, Caroline Féry & Ruben van de Vijver, eds., 23-76, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McCarthy, John J. (2005) The length of stem-final vowels in Colloquial Arabic. Perspectives on Arabic Linguistics XVII-XVIII: Papers from the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Annual Symposia on Arabic Linguistics: 1-26.
- McCarthy, John J. (2007) *Hidden Generalizations: Phonological Opacity in Optimality Theory*. London: Equinox Publishing.
- McCarthy, John J. (2011) Perceptually grounded faithfulness in Harmonic Serialism. *Linguistic Inquiry* **42**(1): 171-183.
- McCarthy, John J. & JoePater (2014) Computing constraint-based derivations: Phonological opacity and hidden structure learning. NSF grant proposal.
- McCarthy, John J. & Alan Prince (1994) The emergence of the unmarked: Optimality in prosodic morphology. In *Proceedings of the North East Linguistic Society 24*, Merce Gonzalez, ed., Amherst, Mass.: GLSA Publications, 333-379.
- McCawley, James D. (1968) The Phonological Component of a Grammar of Japanese. The Hague: Mouton.
- Mielke, Jeff, Mike Armstrong, & Elizabeth Hume (2003) Looking through opacity. *Theoretical Linguistics* **29**(1-2): 123-139.
- Miyara, S. (1980) Phonological phrase and phonological reduction. *Papers in Japanese Linguistics* 7: 79-122.

- Nakamura, Mitsuhiro (2003) The articulation of vowel devoicing: A preliminary analysis. *On-in Kenkyuu [Phonological Studies]* **6**: 49-58.
- Nasu, Akio (1999) Chouhukukei onomatope no kyouchou keitai to yuuhyousei [Emphatic forms of reduplicative mimetics and markedness]. *Nihongo/Nihon Bunka Kenkyuu* [Japan/Japanese Culture] 9: 13-25.
- Nishimura, Kohei (2006) Lyman's Law in loanwords. On'in Kenkyu [Phonological Studies] 9: 83-90.
- Padgett, Jaye (2010) Russian consonant-vowel interactions and derivational opacity. In *Proceedings of 18th Formal Approaches to Slavis Linguistics*, W. Brown, A Cooper, A Fosher, E. Kesici, PredolacN., & D. Zec, eds., Ann Arbor: Michigan Slavic Publications, 353-382.
- Pater, Joe (1999) Austronesian nasal substitution and other NC effects. In *The Prosody-Morphology Interface*, René Kager, Harry van der Hulst, & Wim Zonneveld, eds., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 310-343.
- Poser, William (1986) Japanese evidence bearing on the compensatory lengthening controversy. In *Studies in Compensatory Lengthening*, Wetzels Leo & Engin Sezer, eds., Foris, 167-186.
- Poser, William (1988) Glide formation and compensatory lengthening in Japanese. Linguistic Inquiry 19: 494-502.
- Poser, William (1990) Evidence for foot structure in Japanese. Language 66: 78-105.
- Prince, Alan & Paul Smolensky (2004) Optimality Theory: Constraint Interaction in Generative Grammar. Malden and Oxford: Blackwell.
- Pullum, Geoffrey (1976) The Duke of York gambit. Journal of Linguistics 12: 83-102.
- Pullum, Geoffrey K. (1991) The Grate Eskimo Vocabulary Hoax and Other Irrelevant Esseays on the Study of Language. Chicago: The Chicago University Press.
- Rubach, Jerzy (2003) Duke-of-York derivations in Polish. *Linquistic Inquiry* **34**(4): 601-629.
- Sanders, Nathan (2003) Opacity and Sound Change in the Polish Lexicon. Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Santa Cruz.
- Sasaki, Kan (2008) Hardening alternation in the Mitsukaido dialect of Japan. *Gengo Kenkyu [Journal of the Linguistic Society of Japan]* 134: 85-117.
- Shaw, Jason (2009) Compensatory Lengthening via Mora Preservation in OT-CC: Theory and Predictions. In *Proceedings of NELS 38*, Muhammad Abdurrahman, Anisa Schardl, & Martin Walkow, eds., GLSA, 297-310.
- Shibatani, Masayoshi (1990) The Languages of Japan. Cambridge: Cambridge University

- Press.
- Smolensky, Paul (1996) The initial state and 'Richness of the Base' in Optimality Theory. Ms. Johns Hopkins University.
- Sprouse, Ronald (1997) A case for enriched inputs. Talk presented at TREND.
- Steriade, Donca (1997) Phonetics in phonology: The case of laryngeal neutralization.

  Ms. University of California, Los Angeles.
- Tateishi, Koichi (2003) Phonological patterns and lexical strata. In *The Proceedings of International Congress of Linguistics XVII (CD-ROM)*, Prague: Matfyz Press.
- Tesar, Bruce (2014) Output-driven phonology: Theory and Learning. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tsuchida, Ayako (1994) Fricative-vowel coarticulation in Japanese devoiced syllables: Acoustic and perceptual evidence. Working Papers of the Cornell Phonetics Laboratory 10: 145-165.
- Tsujimura, Natsuko (2014) An Introduction to Japanese Linguistics, 3rd Edition. Oxford: Blackwell-Wiley.
- Unger, Marshall (1975) Studies in Early Japanese Morphophonemics. Doctoral dissertation, Yale University.
- Vance, Timothy (1987) An Introduction to Japanese Phonology. New York: SUNY Press.
- Vance, Timothy (1991) A new experimental study of Japanese verb morphology. Journal of Japanese Linguistics 13: 145-156.
- Vance, Timothy (2005) Sequential voicing and Lyman's Law in Old Japanese. In *Polymorphous Linguistics: Jim McCawley's Legacy.*, Salikoko S. Mufwene, Elaine J. Francis, & Rebecca S. Wheeler, eds., Cambridge: MIT Press, 27-43.
- Vance, Timothy (2007) Have we learned anything about rendaku that Lyman didn't already know? In *Current issues in the history and structure of Japanese*, Bjarke Frellesvig, Masayoshi Shibatani, & John Carles Smith, eds., Tokyo: Kurosio, 153-170.
- Vance, Timothy (2014) If rendaku isn't a rule, what in the world is it? In *Usage-Based Approaches to Japanese Grammar: Towards the Understanding of Human Language*, Kaori Kabata & Tsuyoshi Ono, eds., Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 137-152.
- Vance, Timothy (2015) Rendaku. In *The Handbook of Japanese Language and Linguis*tics: Phonetics and Phonology, Haruo Kubozono, ed., Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Vance, Timothy (to appear) Introduction. In *Perspectives on rendaku: Sequential voicing in Japanese compounds*, Timothy Vance & Mark Irwin, eds., Berlin:

Mouton.

Vaux, Bert (2008) Why the phonological component must be serial and rule-based. In *Rules, constraints and phonological phenomana*, Bert Vaux & Andrew Nevins, eds., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 20-60.

Wilson, Colin (2000) Targeted Constraints: An Approach to Contextual Neutralization in Optimality Theory. Doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University.

Zoll, Cheryl (1998) Positional asymmetries and licensing. Ms. MIT.