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## 13 Burmese

## 1 Introduction

Tsunoda (this volume-a, 2.1) proposes that the prototype of the mermaid construction ("MMC") has all of the following five properties.
(a) The structure is as shown in (1) - superficially at least.
(b) The Noun is an independent word (not a clitic) that is a noun.
(c) The subject of the Clause and the Noun are non-coreferential.
(d) The Clause can be used as a sentence by itself.
(e) The Clause is not the subject of the "Noun + Copula".
(1) Prototype of the mermaid construction ("MMC"):
[Clause] Noun Copula

In the prototypical MMC, the Noun slot is occupied by a noun that is an independent word. In non-prototypical instances, it may be occupied by an enclitic or a suffix which derives from a noun. Nouns, enclitics and suffixes in the Noun slot may also be nominalizers.

Tsunoda (this volume-a, Section 1 and 2.1) notes as follows. Superficially at least, it looks as if the MMC contains a subordinate clause and is bi-clausal. However, languages such as Modern Standard Japanese (Tsunoda, this volume-b, Section 6) and Korean (Kim, this volume, Section 6) provide ample evidence that syntactically their MMC is mono-clausal, not bi-clausal, despite its superficial appearance (shown in (1)). Their MMC has just one predicate, not two predicates, and the predicate is a compound predicate that consists of the predicate of the Clause, the Noun and the Copula, as shown in (2).
(2) [... predicate of Clause] Noun Copula. compound predicate

Burmese has the MMC, and so far nineteen forms have been attested in the Noun slot of the MMC. The Burmese MMC can be classified into four types in terms of the category of the nineteen forms. (i) Type 1: four "full nouns" (they are fully independent words). (ii) Type 2: three "subordinate-nouns" (they can function like enclitics). (iii) Type 3: two nominalizers (they are enclitics placed after verbs). (iv) Type 4: ten "special heads" (they are attached to verbs and form compound nouns; some of them may be regarded as enclitics or suffixes). The semantic/functional categories of the MMC concern modality, evidentiality, aspect, discourse, degree,
and limit/extent. Burmese does not have a copula verb. Nonetheless, the MMC may have an "assisting verb" in place of a copula verb. Syntactically the MMC behaves like independent mono-clausal sentences, and it does not contain a subordinate clause. It should be regarded as mono-clausal, not bi-clausal.

## 2 Initial illustration

See (3) as an initial illustration of the MMC of Burmese. It is an instance of Type 1; pòun 'shape' is a full noun. It has an evidential meaning: 'It seems'. The form in the Noun slot is shown in bold face. When literally translated, the MMC does not make sense, and examples of the MMC will often be accompanied by a literal translation ("LT") and a free translation ("FT"). The Clause of the MMC and its literal translation are embraced by square brackets.
(3) [mănêgâ tù yăthá=n̂ cáun țwá=d̂̂] pòun(=bé)
yesterday 3 SG train=with school go=AN shape(=EMP)
LT: '[He went to school by train yesterday] a shape.'
FT: 'It seems that he went to school by train yesterday.'
(For the particle $=b$ ह́ 'emphasis', see 4.1-[1].) Only Type 1 is illustrated here. The other three types require some explanatory comments, and it is not convenient to illustrate them here.

## 3 Profile of the language

[1] Location, genetic affiliation, and number of speakers
Burmese belongs to the Lolo-Burmese branch of the Tibeto-Burman family of the Sino-Tibetan linguistic stock. It is mainly spoken in Myanmar. I estimate the number of its native speakers to be somewhere between 45 to 50 million. The present chapter examines the Yangon-Mandalay dialect, which is generally recognized as the standard language in Myanmar. In English, this language has come to be called Myanmar because this word is close to the indigenous name of the Myanmar people: /myămà/. However, the present chapter uses "Burmese" in order to avoid confusion in terminology.

## [2] Phonology

The syllable structure of Burmese is $\mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{C} 2) \mathrm{V} 1(\mathrm{~V} 2)(\mathrm{C} 3) / \mathrm{T}$, where " C " and " V " stand for a consonant and a vowel, respectively, and "T" indicates the tone of the whole syllable. The part -V1(V2)(C3) is called "rhyme". Consonant phonemes are: /p, t, t,
 z, m, n, n, n, n, hm [mm], hn [ñn], hn [j̊n], hn [ŋ̊n], w, y [j], hw [ww], l, hl [11], (r)/ . There are twenty-two rhymes: /i, e, $\varepsilon, \mathrm{a}, ~ \supset, ~ o, ~ u, ~ i n, ~ e i n, ~ a i n, ~ a n, ~ a u n, ~ o u n, ~ u n, ~ i ?, ~$ eiP, aiP, $\varepsilon$ ?, a?, auP, ou?, uP/. There are three tones: /à/ (low level), /á/ (high level), and /â/ (falling). In addition, there is an atonic syllable: Că.

The voiceless initial consonant phoneme of many bound morphemes, i.e. particles and affixes placed after nouns or verbs, alternates with its voiced counterpart unless it is preceded by a glottal stop. The same alternation occurs in compounding. In what follows, when a bound morpheme is cited, both voiceless and voiced forms are shown, e.g. =kâ/=gâ 'agent; source'.
[3] Word classes
In my view, four word classes can be set up for Burmese: nouns, verbs, particles, and interjections. There is no need to set up adjectives or adverbs because words that denote a state are a subcategory of verbs and many words that can modify a verb are a subcategory of nouns. Verbs can be defined as words that can be followed by a verb sentence marker (see [4] below).

Nouns in Burmese are not easy to define in a clear-cut way. A typical noun has the following characteristics.
(4) A typical noun in Burmese:
(a) cannot be followed by a verb sentence marker;
(b) can be followed by a case particle, and;
(c) can be preceded by a demonstrative.

An example of a typical noun is ̧èin 'house'. It cannot be followed by a verb sentence marker; see (5), which is ungrammatical and also untranslatable. It can be followed by a case particle, e.g. (6), and it can be preceded by a demonstrative, e.g. (7).
(5) *?èin=dè
house=RLS
'(untranslatable)'
(6) アèin=hmà $6 \hat{1}=\mathrm{d}$ ह̀
house=at exist=RLS
'(He) is at the house.'
(7) dì Tèin
this house
'this house'

These criteria，however，are not fulfilled by all nouns．For example，nouns that are formed by reduplicating a stative verb meet criteria（a）and（b）only．Let us take Pé尸é＇coldness＇as an example．It is formed by reduplicating the verb Pé＇cold＇．First， it cannot be followed by a verb sentence marker；see（8）．Second，it can be followed by a case particle，e．g．（9）．However，it cannot be modified by a demonstrative；see （10）．
（8）＊？éそé＝dè
coldness＝RLS
＇（untranslatable）＇
（9）ŋà kj̀fí shò＝yìn Ré？é＝gò caiß＝tè
1SG coffee say＝if coldness＝KO like＝RLS
＇When it comes to coffee，I like cold one．＇
（10）＊dì RéPé
this coldness
IM：＇this coldness＇

In the present chapter，the criterion（a）is considered a necessary condition for a word to be classified as a noun．If a word matches（a）and also matches either of the criteria（b）and（c），it is regarded as a noun．Thus，アéPé＇coldness＇is a noun．
［4］Morphosyntax
Burmese has both prefixes and suffixes，although their number is small．To this extent，Burmese is an agglutinative language．However，the affixes are used for derivation only．There is no inflection．

Burmese is non－configurational and dependent－marking．The basic order is SOV．Modifiers of a noun，e．g．a demonstrative and an adnominal clause（or a rela－ tive clause），precede the noun．

Burmese uses postpositions，if the so－called particles are considered enclitics， rather than suffixes．Admittedly it is not always easy to distinguish enclitics from suffixes and also from independent words．

Grammatical relations，semantic roles，and the like are generally indicated by case particles（tentatively presented as enclitics，preceded by an equal symbol）， such as $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$＇agent（subject）；source＇，＝kò／＝gò＇patient；recipient；goal＇，＝n̂̂ ＇instrument；accompanier；enumeration＇，＝hmà＇location＇，＝ŷ̂＇possession＇，＝câun／ jâun＇cause＇．Examples include（11），an intransitive sentence，and（12），a transitive sentence．
（11）țù（＝gâ）pyé＝dè $3 S G(=K A)$ run＝RLS
＇He ran．＇
(12) tù̀(=gâ) アăphè=n̂̂ アèin=hmà ŋá(=gò) sá=d $\varepsilon$
$3 S G(=K A)$ father=with house=at fish(=KO) eat=RLS
'He ate a fish with (his) father at home.'

The case particles $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$ 'agent (subject); source', =kò/=gò 'patient; recipient; goal', and $=y \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'possession' can be absent as far as the syntactic/semantic structure of the clause is parsable.

The case system is of the nominative-accusative type: $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$ for the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, and $=k o ̀ /=g o ̀ ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ O . ~$

The verb (in a mono-clausal sentence or in the main clause of a bi-clausal sentence) has to be followed by one of the particles that are called verb sentence markers by Okell (1969: 118-119). They mainly indicate modality. The verb sentence markers that are important for the discussion in the present work are shown in Table 1.

Sentences with $=t \grave{\varepsilon} /=d \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'realis' basically concern a present event or a past event, e.g. (13). Those with $=m \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'irrealis modality' basically concern a future event, e.g. (14).
(13) țù Pèin=hmà kâ=d $\grave{c}$

3SG house=at dance=RLS
'He dances at home. / He danced at home.'
(14) tù̀ Tèin=hmà kâ=mè

3SG house=at dance=IRR
'He will dance at home.'
The verb sentence marker =phú/=bú 'negation' is used in negative sentences. A negative sentence is formed by putting the negative prefix mă- before the verb and putting the particle $=p h u ́ /=b u ́$ 'negation' after the verb, e.g. (15). In a negative sentence, the opposition between realis and irrealis modality is neutralized. Thus, (15) may mean 'He did not dance ...' (past), 'He does not dance ...' (present), or 'He will not dance ...' (future).

Tab. 1: Verb sentence markers.

| Verb sentence marker | Meaning | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=t \grave{\varepsilon} /=d \grave{\varepsilon}$ | realis modality | $(13)$ |
| $=m \grave{\varepsilon}$ | irrealis modality | $(14)$ |
| $=p h u ́ /=b u ́$ | negation | $(15)$ |

(15) tù そèiv=hmà mă-kâ=bú

3SG house=at not-dance=NEG
'He did not dance at home. / He does not dance at home. / He will not dance at home.'
[5] Literacy and styles
Burmese has a long history of the written language dating back to the 12th century, and the literacy of Burmese-speaking people has been relatively high.

Modern Burmese has two styles: the literary style and the colloquial style. The present work deals with the colloquial style.

## 4 Types of sentences and clauses

### 4.1 Verb predicate sentences and non-verb predicate sentences

Sentences in Burmese can be grouped into (i) verb predicate sentences and (ii) nonverb predicate sentences (including noun predicate sentences).

Verb predicate sentences must contain a verb sentence marker (see Table 1) encliticized to the head verb, e.g. (13) to (15). They can also contain an auxiliary, e.g. =hnàin 'can' in (22). Auxiliaries are elements that can appear between the verb and the verb sentence marker.

In non-verb predicate sentences, the predicate may be a noun phrase, e.g. (16), or a noun and a case particle, i.e., a postpositional phrase, e.g. (17).
(16) tù̀ myămà(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG Myanmar(=EMP)
'He is a Myanmar (i.e. a Burman).'
(17) tù yàngòun=gâ(=bદ́)

3SG Yangon=from(=EMP)
'He is from Yangon.'
Sentences whose predicate consists of a noun phrase, e.g. (16), are called noun predicate sentences in the present work. There are four points to note about nonverb predicate sentences.
[1] Absence of a verb sentence marker
In contrast with verb predicate sentences, non-verb predicate sentences cannot have a verb sentence marker in the predicate. Instead, some other particle, such as $=p \dot{\varepsilon} /=b \dot{\varepsilon}$ 'emphasis', e.g. (16), (17), or $=p \grave{a} /=b \grave{a}$ 'politeness', often appears in the predicate-final position, probably in order to indicate a sentence boundary. The
use of such particles, however, is not obligatory. In the relevant examples, they are shown in parentheses, as in (16) and (17).
[2] Absence of an auxiliary
In contrast with verb predicate sentences, non-verb predicate sentences cannot have an auxiliary in the predicate.
[3] Absence of a copula verb
In my opinion, Burmese does not have any verb that can be unequivocally regarded as a copula verb. In this connection, it is important to make a note on "assisting verbs".
[4] Assisting verbs
There are verbs that may occur after the predicate of non-verb predicate sentences without changing the propositional meaning of the sentences. In the present work they will be referred to as "assisting verbs" - a term suggested by Timothy J. Vance (p.c.) (They are called "dummy verbs" by Sawada (1998: 31) and Jenny \& San San Hnin Tun (2016: 253).) Assisting verbs include loup 'to do', e.g. (18), $6 \hat{\imath}$ 'to exist', e.g. (19), and phyi? 'to become, to happen, to be'. Compare (16) with (20), and (17) with (21).
(18) țù=gâ yídíyádá (louP=tè)

3SG=KA ambiguousness (do=RLS)
'He behaves shilly-shally.'
(19) dì Pèin=gâ hlâhlâpâbâ ( $6 \hat{1}=\mathrm{d} \check{\mathrm{c}})$
this house=KA beautifulness (exist=RLS)
'This house is beautiful.'
(20) tù̀ myămà (phyiP=tè)

3SG Myanmar (be=RLS)
'He is a Myanmar (i.e. a Burman).'
(21) țù yàngòun=gâ (phyi?=tè)

3SG Yangon=from (be=RLS)
'He is from Yangon.'

These three verbs - lou? 'to do', $6 \hat{1}$ 'to exist', and phyi? 'to become, to happen, to be' - are frequently used as assisting verbs, and they can also be used as the "main verb". Although phyi? 'to become, to happen, to be' is glossed as 'be' for convenience, it is not really a copula verb; it is one of the assisting verbs. Selection of an assisting verb for a given non-verb predicate sentence is determined mainly by semantic features of the predicate. However, an assisting verb is sometimes collocationally fixed with the predicate. Details of this selection are still unknown.

In the MMC, some more verbs can be used as assisting verbs, as we shall see in 5.2 to 5.5 .

The addition of an assisting verb to non-verb predicate sentences has two functions.

First, this addition turns a non-verb predicate sentence into a verb predicate sentence, and the resultant verb predicate sentence can now have a verb sentence marker (see Table 1), e.g. =tغ̀/=d 'realis' in (22), and auxiliaries, e.g. =hnàin 'can' in (22) - in contrast with non-verb predicate sentences. Consequently the sentence can now be modified with various elements denoting modality, aspect, and so on.
(22) tuù myămà phyỉ=hnàin=d $\varepsilon$ è

3SG Myanmar be=can=RLS
'He may be a Myanmar (i.e. a Burman).'
Second, an assisting verb is necessary for negating non-verb predicate sentences. Compare (16), (20), (23), and also (17), (21), (24). The verb houp 'to be so' is used for the negation of phyi? 'to be', because the negated form of phyi?, i.e. măphyi?, means 'not to become (something)', rather than 'not be (something)'.
(23) tù myămà mă-hou?=phú

3SG Myanmar not-be.so=NEG
'He is not a Myanmar (i.e. a Burman).'
(24) țù yàngòun=gâ mă-hou?=phú

3SG Yangon=from not-be.so=NEG
'He is not from Yangon.'

As noted in [4] of Section 3, negation requires the addition of the prefix mă- 'not' and the enclitic =phú/=bú 'NEG' to the verb. In other words, negation cannot occur without a verb. Non-verb predicate sentences do not contain a verb, and as they stand they cannot be negated.

In terms of style, the use of an assisting verb makes the sentence somewhat more formal.

Note that assisting verbs are not auxiliaries. Assisting verbs are independent words. Auxiliaries are enclitics, and they can occur between a verb and a verb sentence marker. See (22), for example. The assisting verb phyi? 'to be' is followed by the auxiliary =hnàin 'can'.

### 4.2 Adnominal clauses

### 4.2.1 Introductory notes

Adnominal clauses ("ACs") (or relative clauses) in Burmese are formed by means of one of the two adnominalizing markers (or simply adnominalizers) shown in Table 2. The adnominalizing markers $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ and $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$ are enclitics. They differ from the verb sentence markers $=t \grave{\varepsilon} /=d \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'realis' and $=m \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'irrealis' (cf. Table 1) regarding tone only. Each of these adnominalizing markers has a weakened form, and the weakened forms are shown in parentheses. The weakened forms are discussed in 5.3.

Tab. 2: Adnominalizing markers (or adnominalizers).

| Adnominalizing marker | Modality | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}(=t \breve{a} /=d \breve{a})$ | realis | $(25)$ |
| $=m \hat{\varepsilon}(=m a ̆)$ | irrealis | $(26)$ |

An AC always precedes the noun it modifies. Examples follow. The ACs are shown with braces.
(25) \{nà sá=dê\} hín

1SG eat=AN curry
'the curry that I ate'
(26) \{nà sá=mê\} hín

1SG eat=AN curry
'the curry that I will eat'

Teramura (1969) divides ACs of Modern Standard Japanese into two types. Tsunoda (this volume-b, 4.2.2) proposes to label these two types as "gap type" and "addition type". Burmese has these two types of ACs, as shown below.

### 4.2.2 ACs of the gap type

The formation of ACs of this type employs the gap strategy. The head noun corresponds to an argument or an adjunct of the AC. All the positions on Keenan \& Comrie’s (1977) accessibility hierarchy can be relativized on, except for the object of comparison. Thus, compare the following sentences.
(27) shăyà=gâ khălé=gò hín pé=dè
teacher=KA child=KO curry give=RLS
'The teacher gave curry to the child.'
(28) $\{$ khălé=gò hín pé=d̂̂\} shăyà
child=KO curry give=AN teacher
'the teacher who gave curry to the child' (subject)
(29) \{shăyà=gâ khălé=gò pé=d $\hat{\varepsilon}\}$ hín
teacher=KA child=KO give=AN curry
'the curry that the teacher gave to the child' (direct object)
(30) \{shăyà=gâ hív pé=dê\} khălé
teacher=KA curry give=AN child
'the child to whom the teacher gave curry' (indirect object)
In the following example, something similar to the oblique object is relativized on. It indicates an instrument.
(31) \{ $\mathfrak{y}$ hín sá=dê\} zúN

1SG curry eat=AN spoon
'the spoon with which I ate the curry'

### 4.2.3 ACs of the addition type

In ACs of this type, the head noun is, so to speak, added from outside the underlying clause. It does not correspond to any argument or any adjunct of the AC. Thus, compare:
(32) țù ŋá kìn=dè

3SG fish grill=RLS
'He is grilling a fish.'
(33) \{țù ŋá kìn=d̂̂\} YănâN

3SG fish grill=AN smell
LT: 'the smell with which he is grilling a fish'
FT: 'the smell of him grilling a fish'
The head noun in (33) is Pănân 'smell'. Note that it is absent in (32). That is, the head noun is, so to speak, added from outside the underlying clause. Consider:
(34) *țù アănâN=n̂̂ ŋá kìn=dè

3SG sound=with fish grill=RLS
IM: 'He is grilling a fish with a smell.'
Sentence (34) is unacceptable. That is, the head noun in (33), i.e. PănâN 'smell', cannot occur (as an adjunct) in (32). For the AC in (33), there is no literally corresponding sentence. As another set of examples, consider:
(35) țù ŋá khou?=ť̀

3SG fish cut=RLS
'He is cutting a fish.'
(36) \{țù ŋá khou?=t̂̂\} Yătृà

3SG fish cut=AN sound
LT: 'the sound with which he is cutting a fish'
FT: 'the sound of him cutting a fish'
(37) *țù २ătàn=nê ŋá khou?=t $\bar{\varepsilon}$

3SG sound=with fish cut=RLS
LT: 'He is cutting a fish with a sound.'

## 5 Mermaid construction

### 5.1 Introductory notes

As noted in Section 1, Tsunoda (this volume-a) proposes the structure of the prototype of the mermaid construction ("MMC") shown in (1).
(1) Prototype of the mermaid construction ("MMC"):
[Clause] Noun Copula.
As mentioned in 4.1, Burmese does not have a verb which can be unequivocally considered a copula verb. That is, it does not have the prototype of the MMC. Nonetheless, as is the case with non-verb predicate sentences, including noun predicate sentences, an assisting verb may appear in the MMC. Therefore, the Burmese MMC can be represented as follows.
(38) Mermaid construction in Burmese:
[Clause] Noun (Assisting verb).

Tab. 3: Nominalizers.

| Nominalizer | Modality |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$ | realis |
| $=h m a ̀ ~$ | irrealis |

The adnominalizing markers ("ANs") shown in Table 2 may be employed in the MMC. They are enclitics. The essential constituents of the Burmese MMC are as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\ldots \mathrm{V}+(=\mathrm{AN})]+\text { Noun. } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Non-verb predicate clauses do not occur in the Clause slot of the Burmese MMC.
Nineteen forms have been so far attested in the Noun slot. The Burmese MMC can be classified into four types in terms of the category of these nineteen forms.
(a) Type 1: Four "full nouns" (fully independent words).
(b) Type 2: Three "subordinate-nouns" (they can function like enclitics).
(c) Type 3: Two nominalizers: =tà/=dà and =hmà (they are enclitics placed after verbs).
(d) Type 4: Ten "special heads" (they are attached to the preceding verb and form compound nouns; some of them may be regarded as enclitics or suffixes).

That is, independent nouns, enclitics, and possibly suffixes can occur in the Noun slot.

Also, in terms of (39), the four types of the MMC can be represented as in (40). The underlined parts correspond to "Noun" of (39). In Type 3 and Type 4, "AN" (adnominalizer) does not appear. It is for this reason that "AN" in (39) is placed in parentheses.
(40) Four types of the MMC
(a) Type 1: [... V + =AN] + Full noun
(b) Type 2: $[\ldots \mathrm{V}+=\mathrm{AN}]+$ Subordinate-noun
(c) Type 3: $[. . \mathrm{V}]+=t a ̀ /=d a ̀ ~ o r ~=h m a ̀ ~(5.4) ~$
(d) Type 4: $[\ldots \mathrm{V}]+$ Special head

Type 3 involves nominalizers. They are shown in Table 3.
As (40) shows, in Type 1 and Type 2, the verb is followed by an adnominalizer, which is in turn followed by the Noun (a full noun in Type 1 and a subordinatenoun in Type 2). In Type 3, the verb is immediately followed by the Noun (the
nominalizer =tà/=dà or =hmà). In Type 4, the verb is immediately followed by the Noun (a special head), and they form a compound noun.

As seen in Section 1, Tsunoda (this volume-a) proposes that the prototype of the MMC has five properties, one of which is the following:
(b) The Noun is an independent word (not a clitic) that is a noun.

In terms of this criterion, Type 1 conforms to the prototype, but the other three types depart from it.

It should be mentioned that sentences such as (41) are not instances of the MMC. The example (41) may look similar to the MMC in that it has "V + (=AN) + Noun". However, it is a noun predicate sentence ('This is the fish') whose predicate noun happens to be modified by an adnominal clause ('that he ate'). ${ }^{1}$
(41) dà=gâ \{tù sá=d $\hat{\varepsilon}\}$ ŋá(=bé)
this=KA 3SG eat=AN fish(=EMP)
'This is the fish that he ate.'

We shall now look at each of the four types of the Burmese MMC.

### 5.2 Type 1: $\mathbf{V}+=A N+$ Full noun

This type of MMC involves a full noun preceded by an adnominalizing marker (cf. Table 2 and (40)-(a)). The four full nouns shown below can be used in the Noun slot of the MMC.
(a) pòun 'shape, form, manner, scenery'
(b) hàn 'appearance, gesture'
(c) dăbý 'nature, characteristic, concept'
(d) shéz'́ 'moment just before something'

1 I have had discussions with several specialists in Burmese about the Burmese MMC and some of them inquired if sentences such as (i) below were instances of the MMC. In fact, like (41), (i) is a noun predicate sentence, and not an instance of the MMC. Its predicate is the noun caup-săyà 'a thing to be scared of'. The morpheme -săyà is a nominalizing suffix that forms a noun that means 'thing which is to be V-ed'.
(i) tù̀=gâ caup-săyà(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG=KA scared.of-to.be.V.ed(=EMP)
LT: 'He is a thing to be scared of.'
FT: 'He is a scary man.'
"Full nouns" are nouns that can constitute a noun phrase on their own, e.g. pòus 'shape' in (42).
(42) pòun mă-hlâ=bú
shape not-beautiful=NEG
'The shape is not beautiful.'

To be precise, shézź 'moment just before something' cannot constitute a noun phrase on its own. Nonetheless, it is included here because it can constitute a noun phrase as long as it is modified by a demonstrative, e.g. ? $\varepsilon$ dì shézé 'moment just before that' (? $\varepsilon$ dì 'that').

These four forms are evidently nouns. First, they cannot be followed by any verb sentence marker. Second, they can be followed by a case particle. Third, they can be preceded by a demonstrative. Examples follow. $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$ 'agent (subject); source', =kò/=gò 'patient; recipient; goal' and =hmà 'location' are case particles.
(43) dì pòun=gâ káun=dè
this shape $=$ KA good=RLS
'This shape is good.'
(44) Rédì hàN=gò myìn=dè
that gesture=KO see=RLS
'(I) saw that gesture (of his).'
 that nature=KO understand=RLS
'(I) understood that concept.'
(46) $\}$ ćdì shézé=hmà tù là=dè
that just.before=at 3SG come=RLS
'Just before that, he came.'
When these nouns are used in the MMC, the MMC denotes the meanings shown in Table 4. The MMC with pòun 'shape' and the MMC with hàn 'appearance' have an evidential meaning; both express the speaker's inference based on his/her direct observation. The difference between them is that hàn is somewhat more formal than pòun. The meaning of the MMC with shézé is aspectual, or possibly temporal. The function of the MMC with dăbs is considerably difficult to understand, but we can say with certainty that it has some sort of discourse function.

Tab. 4: Meanings of the MMC of Type 1.

| 'Noun' |  | Meaning of MMC | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pòun | 'shape' | 'it seems that' | $(47)$ |
| hàn | 'appearance' | 'it seems that' | $(48)$ |
| dăbj́ | 'nature' | 'it is that, it is as if' | $(49)$ |
| shézé | 'moment just before' | 'be about to' | $(50)$ |

Examples follow.
(47) [țù dì híN=gò sá=d̂̂] pòun(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN shape(=EMP)
LT: ‘[He ate this curry] a shape.’
FT: 'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(48) [ț̃̀ dì híN=gò sá=d̂̂] hàv(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN appearance(=EMP)
LT: '[He ate this curry] an appearance.'
FT: 'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(49) [țù dì híN=gò măhlwédràlô sá=dê] dăb丂́(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO against.one's.will eat=AN nature(=EMP)
LT: '[He ate this curry unwillingly] a nature.'
FT: 'It is that he unwillingly ate this curry.'
(50) [țù dì hín=gò sá=mê] shézé(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN just.before(=EMP)
LT: ‘[He will eat this curry] just before.'
FT: 'He is about to eat this curry.'

In the case of pòun 'shape', hàn 'appearance', and dă̆bs 'nature', both $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ (realis) and $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$ (irrealis) can occur as the AN. For example, if we replace $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ in (47) with $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$, we obtain a grammatical sentence shown in (51), with a difference in meaning. The action of eating is generally interpreted to have occurred before the utterance time in (47) (realis), while in (51) (irrealis) the action is generally interpreted not to have occurred yet.
(51) [țù dì híN=gò sá=mê] pòun(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN shape(=EMP)
LT: ‘[He will eat this curry] a shape.'
FT: 'It seems that he will eat this curry.'

Tab. 5: Assisting verbs for the MMC of Type 1.

| "Noun" |  | Assisting verb | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pòus | 'shape' | $y a ̂ ~ ' t o ~ g e t ', ~ p j ̀ ~ ' t o ~ a p p e a r ' ~$ | (52) |
| hàn | 'appearance’ | tù 'to resemble' | (53) |
| dă ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 'nature' | $6 \hat{1}$ 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (54) |
| shézź | 'moment just before' | $6 \hat{1}$ 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (55) |

In the case of shézé 'moment just before’, only =mर̂ 'irrealis' can occur as the AN.

Only the verbs shown in Table 5 can be used as an assisting verb in the MMC of Type 1; other verbs cannot. In the case of hàn 'appearance', only tù 'to resemble' can be used. For each of the other three nouns, two verbs are possible. The difference in meaning brought by using different assisting verbs is so subtle that it is not understood.

Examples follow.
(52) [ț̃̀ dì hín=gò sá=dê] pòun yâ=dè / pò=d $\grave{\varepsilon}$

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN shape get=RLS / appear=RLS
'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(53) [ț̃̀ dì híN=gò sá=dê] hàn tù=dè

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN shape resemble=RLS
'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(54) [țù dì hín=gò măhlwédaàlô sá=dê] dăbś 6î=dè / phyip=tè

3SG this curry=KO against.one's.will eat=AN nature exist=RLS / be=RLS
'It is that he unwillingly ate this curry.'

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN just.before exist=RLS / be-RLS
'He is about to eat this curry.'

Both verb sentence markers $=t \bar{\varepsilon} /=d \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'realis' and $=m \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'irrealis' (Table 1), encliticized to the assisting verb, can occur with either the adnominalizing marker $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'realis' or $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'irrealis' (Table 2). That is, there are four combinations in all. See (56) to (59). In these examples, the verb sentence marker $=t \grave{\varepsilon} /=d \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'realis' (RLS) basically denotes that the judgment of the observer (mainly the speaker) occurs in the present/past time; see (56) and (58) ('It seems / It seemed'). The verb sentence marker $=m \varepsilon$ 'irrealis’ (IRR) indicates that the judgment will occur in the future time; see (57) and (59) ('It will seem'). On the other hand, the adnominalizing marker $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'realis' (AN(rls)) denotes that the action of eating is anterior to the judgment; see (56) ('he ate', 'he had eaten') and (57) ('he will have eaten'). The
adnominalizer $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'irrealis' $(\operatorname{AN}($ irr) $)$ indicates that the action of eating is posterior to the judgment; see (58) ('he will eat', 'he would eat') and (59) ('he will eat').
(56) [sá=d̂̂] pòun yâ=dè
eat=AN(rls) shape get=RLS
'It seems that (he) ate / It seemed that (he) had eaten.'
(57) [sá=d̂̂] pòun yâ=mè
eat=AN(irr) shape get=IR
'(Tomorrow when I see him), it will seem that (he) will have eaten.'
(58) $[$ sá $=\mathrm{m} \hat{\varepsilon}]$ pòun yâ=dè
eat=AN(rls) shape get=RLS
'It seems that (he) will eat / It seemed that (he) would eat.'
(59) [sá=mê] pòun yâ=mè
eat=AN(irr) shape get=IRR
'(Tomorrow when I see him), it will seem that (he) will eat.'

If an assisting verb does not appear, the judgment is usually the one that is made by the speaker at the time of the utterance.

It should also be added that out of these four nouns discussed above, pòus 'shape’ and hàn 'appearance' may form a compound with the verb when the action denoted by the verb is anterior to the judgment. Thus, sentences (60) and (61), which involve such compounding, can be used in place of (47) and (48), respectively.
(60) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-bòuv(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat-shape(=EMP)
'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(61) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-hàN(=bé)

3SG this curry=KO eat-appearance(=EMP)
'It seems that he ate this curry.'

Burmese has a type of MMC in which the verb of the Clause and the Noun form a compound, i.e. the MMC of Type 4; see 5.5.

It is possible, though by no means certain, that the Noun in the compound word in sentences such as (60) and (61) has become an enclitic or a suffix, that is, the Noun in such sentences has undergone the following change:
(62) Independent word $\rightarrow$ enclitic or suffix

Languages such as nDrapa (Shirai, this volume, 5.2.2), Modern Standard Japanese (Tsunoda, this volume-b, 7.6) and Irabu Ryukyuan (Shimoji, this volume, 5.5) provide instances where a noun (an independent word) became an enclitic and/or a suffix, and both (i.e. a noun and an enclitic, or a noun and a suffix) or all of the three (a noun, an enclitic and a suffix) can occupy the Noun slot of the MMC. See Tsunoda (this volume-a, 4.2.2).

### 5.3 Type 2: V + AN + Subordinate-noun

Burmese has a number of nominal morphemes that can also function like postpositions. Many of these have corresponding full nouns. When they are used as full nouns, they are independent words. When they are used like postpositions, they are tentatively considered enclitics, shown with a preceding equal symbol. They seem to have undergone the following change: independent word $\rightarrow$ enclitic. See (62). Some of the subordinate nouns do not have corresponding full nouns, but historically, they also seem to have changed from full nouns. (However, recall that, as noted in [4] in Section 3, it is not always easy to distinguish enclitics from suffixes and also from independent words.)

When used like postpositions, these nominal morphemes are called "subordi-nate-nouns" by Okell (1969: 142-144) (see also Wheatley 1982: 142). They are referred to as kakumeisi ('case nouns') by Sawada (1998), and this label is adopted by Okano (2007). Myint Soe (1999: 72-93), however, classifies them among "propositional semantic role markers", which include what are referred to as case particles in the present work. Okell enumerates eighteen subordinate-nouns. Those that are used frequently in my observation are shown in Table 6, with the meaning as a full noun and the meaning as a subordinate-noun. English glosses are taken from Okell (1969).

Tab. 6: Subordinate-nouns of frequent use.

| Subordinate-noun | Meaning as a full noun | Meaning as a subordinate-noun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Păsá | 'substitute' | 'instead of' |
| Pătáin | 'measuring' | 'in accordance with' |
| Pătwદ? | 'calculation' | 'for' |
| Păthî | 'reaching' | 'as far as' |
| Păphyi? | 'being, status' | 'as' |
| Păhmyâ | 'equal share' | 'as much as' |
| Păyâ | 'acquisition' | 'in accordance with' |
| lò | 'requirement' | 'like, as' |
| lòlò | 'spontaneously' | 'rather like, as if' |
| laup | 'sufficiency (?)' | 'as much as' |

These nouns contain the nominalizing prefix $2 \breve{a}-$, except for the last three nouns: lò, lòlò, and lau?. However, two of these, i.e. lò and lòlò, are required to be attached with the prefix Pă- when they are used as full nouns: २ălò and २ălòlò. The subordinate-nouns listed in Table 6 can be used as full nouns, except for the last one: laup. Sentence (63) is an example where Pătwe? 'calculation' is used as a full noun.
(63) tù アătw $\varepsilon$ ? káun=d $\varepsilon$ と

3SG calculation good=RLS
'He is good at calculation.'

Subordinate-nouns can function like case particles. They introduce adjuncts, rather than arguments. Below is an example of =Rătw\&? 'for'.
(64) yà myaPthún=Yătw $\varepsilon$ hín ch $\varepsilon$ = $=$ t

1SG (personal.name)=for curry cook=RLS
'I cooked the curry for Myat Htun.'

Furthermore, subordinate-nouns can be generally modified by an adnominal clause (cf. 4.2). The resultant structure, i.e. an adnominal clause plus the subordi-nate-noun, can function as an adverbial clause. An example is the following, which contains the subordinate-noun =?ătwع? 'for'.
(65) tù pyó=d $\hat{\varepsilon}=$ Pătw $\ell$ ? Răchèis hmì țwá=d $\varepsilon$

3SG tell=AN=for time reach go=RLS
'Because he told me, (I) arrived on time.'
When four of the subordinate-nouns, i.e., =?ăhmyâ 'as much as', =lò 'like, as', =lòlò 'rather like, as if', and =laup 'as much as', are modified by an adnominal clause, the adnominalizing markers $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ (realis) and $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$ (irrealis) have to be in the weakened forms =ță/=d $\breve{a}$ and =mă (cf. Table 2). Examples include (72) (=lò 'like, as'), (73) (=lòlò 'rather like, as if'), and (74) (=lau? 'as much as'). The reason for the use of the weakened forms is unknown.

Three of the subordinate-nouns shown in Table 6 can be used in the MMC. They are:
(a) =lò 'like, as’
(b) =lòlò 'rather like, as if'
(c) =lau? 'as much as'

Etymologically, the subordinate-noun =laup 'as much as' originated in the verb laup 'to be sufficient', and both =lò 'like, as' and =lòlò 'rather like, as if' originated
in the verb lò 'to require'. In Modern Burmese, the verb laup 'to be sufficient' and lò 'to require' are still used as verbs, but the subordinate-nouns =lò, =lòlò, and =lau? are all evidently nouns. First, they do not co-occur with any verb sentence marker. Second, they can be followed by a case particle. Third, they can be preceded by a demonstrative. Examples:
(66) $\mathrm{dì}=\mathrm{lò}=\mathrm{ga}$ pò káun=d $\overline{\text { à }}$
this=like=KA more good=RLS
'This way is the better.'
(67) dì=lòlò=n $\hat{\varepsilon} \quad$ pí țwá $=d \check{~}$
this=rather.like=with finish go=RLS
'It has been all finished rather in this way.'
(68) dì=lau?=kâ keißsâ mă-6î=bú
this=as.much.as=KA problem not-exist=NEG
'This amount is no problem.'
Like other subordinate-nouns, these subordinate-nouns can be used to introduce adjuncts, e.g. (69) to (71), and they can be modified by an adnominal clause, resulting in an adverbial clause, e.g. (72) to (74).
(69) myaPthún=lò louP=t $\varepsilon$
(personal.name)=like do=RLS
'(He) did just as Myat Htun did.'
(70) myaPthúN=lòlò lou?=t $\grave{1}$
(personal.name)=rather.like do=RLS
'(He) did just as Myat Htun would have done.'
(71) mya3thús=lau?
mă-sá=bú
(personal.name)=as.much.as not-eat=NEG
'(He) did not eat as much as Myat Htun.'
(72) țù myaPthún louP=tă=lò louP=tદ̀

3SG (personal.name) do=AN=like do=RLS
'He did just as Myat Htun did.'
(73) țù mya?thún lou?=tăă=lòlò lou?=tè

3SG (personal.name) do=AN=rather.like do=RLS
'He did just as Myat Htun would have done.'
(74) tù̀ mya?thún sá=dă=lau? mă-sá=bú

3SG (personal.name) eat=AN=as.much.as not-eat=NEG
'He did not eat as much as Myat Htun ate.'

Tab. 7: Meanings of the MMC of Type 2.

| Noun |  | Meaning of MMC | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| =lò | 'like, as' | 'it seems that' | $(75)$ |
| =lòlò | 'rather like, as if' | 't looks as if' (counterfactual) | $(76)$ |
| =laup | 'as much as' | 'hardly, scarcely' | $(77)$ |

The adjuncts and adverbial clauses involving =lòlò 'rather like, as if' have a counterfactual meaning, e.g. (70) and (73).

When these subordinate-nouns are used in the MMC, the MMC has the meanings shown in Table 7. The MMC with =lò 'like' has an evidential meaning: the speaker's inference based on a direct observation, like pòus 'shape' and hàn 'appearance' in Type 1 (5.2), but the speaker is less sure about the judgment when =lò is used. Like adjuncts and adverbial clauses involving =lòlò 'rather like, as if', the MMC with =lòlò has a counterfactual meaning. The MMC with =laup 'as much as' indicates degree.

Examples of the MMC follow. Recall that the adnominalizing marker has to be in the weakened form (cf. Table 2) when =lò 'like, as', =lòlò 'rather like, as if' and $=$ laup 'as much as' are modified by an adnominal clause. This applies to the MMC.
(75) [țù dì híN=gò sá=dă]=lò(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN=like(=EMP)
LT: '[He ate this curry] like.'
FT: 'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(76) [tù̀ dì híN=gò sá=dă]=lòlò(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN=rather.like(=EMP)
LT: '[He ate this curry] rather like.'
FT: 'It looks as if he had eaten this curry (but in fact he did not).'
(77) [țù dì híN=gò mă-sá=dă]=lauP(=p $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO not-eat=AN=as.much.as(=EMP)
LT: '[He did not eat this curry] as much as.
FT: 'He hardly ate any of this curry.'
The subordinate-noun =lau? 'as much as' only co-occurs with a negated verb in the MMC; see (77). It cannot be used unless the verb is negated; see:
(78) *[ț̃̀ dì hín=gò sá=dăal=lau?(=p $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN=as.much.as(=EMP)
LT: '[He ate this curry] as much as.'
IM: 'He ate almost all of this curry.'

In (75) (=lò), (76) (=lòlò) and (77) (=laup), the adnominalizer employed is the realis $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$, to be precise, its weakened form $=t a \breve{a} /=d a ̆$ (cf. Table 2). In (79) (=lò) and (80) (=lòlò), the adnominalizer is the irrealis adnominalizer $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$, to be precise, its weakened form =mă. (No example of =lau? 'as much as' is given here. As just noted, =lau? only co-occurs with a negated verb in the MMC. Also, negation generally does not occur in an irrealis clause. Therefore, =mă 'irrealis' never co-occurs with =lauP.)
(79) [t̃ù dì híN=gò sá=mă]=lò(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN=like(=EMP)
LT: '[He will eat this curry] like.'
FT: 'It seems that he will eat this curry.'
(80) [tù dì híN=gò sá=mă]=lòlò(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN=rather.like(=EMP)
LT: '[He will eat this curry] rather like.'
FT: 'It seems almost like he will eat this curry.'
Only the verbs shown in Table 8 can be used as an assisting verb in the MMC of Type 2; other verbs cannot.

Tab. 8: Assisting verbs for the MMC of Type 2.

| Noun |  | Assisting verb | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=l o ̀ ~$ | 'like, as' | $6 \hat{\imath}$ 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | $(81)$ |
| $=l o ̀ l o ̀ ~$ | 'rather like, as if' | $6 \hat{1}$ 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | $(82)$ |
| $=l a u$ ? | 'as much as' | phyip 'to be' | $(83)$ |

Examples follow.
(81) [tù̀ dì híN=gò sá=dă]=lò $6 \hat{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{d} \varepsilon$ / phyif=t $\varepsilon$

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN=like exist=RL/ be=RLS
'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(82) [țù dì híN=gò sá=dă]=lòlò $\quad$ î=dè / phyip=t $\varepsilon$ c̀

3SG this curry $=$ KO eat=AN=rather.like exist=RL/be=RLS
'It looks as if he had eaten this curry (but in fact he did not).'
(83) [țù dì híN=gò ma-sá=dă]=lau? phyiR=tè

3SG this curry=KO not-eat=AN=as.much.as be=RLS
'He hardly ate any of this curry.'

As noted above, the subordinate-nouns seem to have undergone the following change: independent word $\rightarrow$ enclitic. (See (62).) It is interesting to note that it is the enclitic forms, not the independent words, that are used in the MMC.

### 5.4 Type 3: $\mathrm{V}+=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$ or $=h m a ̀$

The particles =tà/=dà and =hmà are nominalizers; see Table 3. The nominalizer $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀ ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ r e a l i s, ~ w h i l e ~=h m a ̀ ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ i r r e a l i s . ~ O u t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ f o r m s ~ t h a t ~ h a v e ~$ the function of nominalizing clauses, these two are the most widely used in colloquial Burmese. Clauses nominalized by =tà/=dà or =hmà denote either an entity, e.g. (84), or an event, e.g. (85).
(84) tù che?=tà=gò yà ywé=dè

3SG cook=TA=KO 1SG select=RLS
'I selected what he cooked.'
(85) từ yàngòun là=dà=gò nà tî=dè

3SG Yangon come=TA=KO 1SG know=RLS
'I know that he came to Yangon.'

These particles cannot be followed by any verb sentence marker, while they can be followed by a case particle, e.g. (84), (85). In these respects, $\mathrm{V}=t a ̀ /=d a ̀ ~ a n d$ $\mathrm{V}=h m a ̀$ are nouns. However, unlike typical nouns, they cannot be modified by a demonstrative; see (86).
(86) *dì ch $\varepsilon$ ? $=$ tà
this cook=TA
IM: 'this food, which (someone) cooked'
The nominalizers =tà $/=d a ̀$ and $=h m a ̀ ~ c a n ~ o c c u p y ~ t h e ~ N o u n ~ s l o t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ M M C . ~$ However, it is considerably difficult to precisely describe the meaning or function of $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀ ~ a n d ~=h m a ̀ ~ i n ~ t h e ~ M M C . ~ T h e y ~ s e e m ~ t o ~ h a v e ~ s o m e ~ s o r t ~ o f ~ d i s c o u r s e ~ f u n c-~$ tion. Regarding the function of $=t \grave{a} /=$ dà used in what the present work calls the MMC, Okell and Allot (2001: 94-95) state that =tà/=dà is used "for emphasis, or when correcting the hearer's mistaken view", and also that it is used "when the information conveyed by the verb is already known to the listener and the new information in the sentence is in one of the noun phrases preceding the verb; compare English sentences of the form: It was because X that Y ".

Kato (1998: 88-89), in a Burmese primer, points out that the "meaning" of $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$ and $=h m a ̀$ resembles that of the $=n o=d a$ construction of Japanese. This is the Modern Standard Japanese MMC with the nominalizer =no; see Tsunoda (this volume-b, 5.1.4). Very roughly speaking, the Modern Standard Japanese $=n o=d a$

Tab. 9: Meanings of the MMC of Type 3.

| Noun |  | Meaning of MMC | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$ | 'nominalizer (RLS)' | 'it is the case that' | $(87)$ |
| $=h m a ̀ ~$ | 'nominalizer (IRR)' | 'it is the case that' | $(88)$ |

construction provides explanation or reason, among others. I gloss these Burmese nominalizers as 'it is the case that', as is shown in Table 9. Matisoff (1972) points out that the Lahu nominalizer ve appears frequently in the sentence-final position. This Lahu nominalizer seems to have a function similar to that of the Burmese =tà/=dà and =hmà.

Examples follow.
(87) [țù dì híN=gò sá]=dà

3SG this curry=KO eat=TA
LT: '[He ate this curry] TA (nominalizer).'
FT: 'It is the case that he ate this curry.'
(88) [țù dì hív=gò sá]=hmà

3SG this curry=KO eat=HMA
LT: '[He will eat this curry] HMA (nominalizer).'
FT: 'It is the case that he will eat this curry.'
Sentences in (89) are cited from a conversation in a Burmese radio drama, and sentences in (90) from a conversation in another scene of the same drama (both slightly modified for simplicity). The second sentence of each of (89-B) and (90-B) is an instance of the MMC. These sentences will give some indication of how this type of the MMC is used in actual discourse.
(89) A. nwèmókhàind̃ăzìn mă-hou?=phú=lá
(personal.name) not-be.so=NEG=Q
'Aren’t (you) Nwe Moe Khine Thazin?'
B. mă-hou? $=$ pà=bú.
not-be.so=POL=NEG
'(I) am not.'
[6ìv=dô lù hmá=jâ]=dà=bà
$2=\mathrm{PL}$ person mistake $=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{TA}=\mathrm{POL}$
'You are mistaking me for another person.'
(90) A. bà phyii=nè=dă=dóun
what happen=PROG=RLS=Q
'What's happening?'
B. [baî=kâ tăPá nà=nè]=dà
stomach=KA severely ache=PROG=TA
'I have a severe stomachache.'

Only the verb phyip 'to be' may occur as the assisting verb in the MMC with $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$ or $=h m a ̀$, e.g.:
(91) [țù dì híN=gò sá]=dà phyiß=t $\grave{\varepsilon}$

3SG this curry=KO eat=TA be=RLS
'It is the case that he ate this curry.'
(92) [țù dì híN=gò sá]=hmà phyip=tè

3SG this curry=KO eat=HMA be=RLS
'It is the case that he will eat this curry.'

### 5.5 Type 4: V + Special head

There are over twenty nominal morphemes that Okell (1969: 65) calls "special heads". Some of special heads can be used as a full noun, while others cannot. All the special heads can combine directly with a verb to yield a compound noun (see also Wheatley 1982: 109-111, Yabu 1992: 581, Myint Soe 1999: 34). For example, in (93), the special head Păț̃à 'sound’ and the verb pý́ ‘speak' form a compound noun. In compounding, the first syllable of २ătà̀ is dropped and the initial consonant of its second syllable, i.e. $t$, alternates with $d \underset{\sim}{d}$ unless it is preceded by a glottal stop. The compound noun pyj́-diàn means 'speaking noise'. A verb compounded with a special head can take its own arguments. In (93), tù '3SG' and zăgá 'language' are the arguments of the verb pyó 'speak'. In other words, the verb compounded with a special head can be the head verb of a subordinate clause. In (93), the subordinate clause is shown with a broken line.
(93) yà țù zăgá pyó-dàn=gò cá=dè

1SG 3SG language speak-sound=KO hear=RLS
'I heard his voice speaking a language.'

In contrast, in an ordinary "verb + noun" compound (in which the noun is just an ordinary noun, and not a special head), the verb cannot take its own arguments. See (94). The compound sá-zăyei? is a noun that means 'food expenses'. The noun

Tab. 10: Special heads of frequent use.

| Special head | Meaning | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Păcáun | 'fact; fact of V-ing' |  |
| Păkhà | 'time; time when one V -s' |  |
| Păchèin | 'time; time when one V -s' |  |
| Păchín | 'happening, event; act of V-ing' |  |
| Păkhwîn | 'permission; opportunity to V ' |  |
| Păhmû | 'problem; act of V-ing' |  |
| Păyé | 'matter, affair; matter of V-ing' |  |
| Pătàn | 'sound; sound of V-ing' |  |
| ní | 'method; way of V-ing' |  |
| tù | '3SG pronoun; person who V-s' |  |
| Păphô | 'share, portion; to V ' | (95) |
| Păshóun | 'end; thing that is the most V' | (96) |
| Păsâ | 'beginning; time when one has just started V-ing' | (97) |
| -khàzâ/-gàzâ | 'time when one has just started V-ing' < 'ăkhà 'time' + Păsâ 'beginning' | (98) |
| -yòus | 'only thing to V ' | (99) |
| -tóun/-dóun | 'process of V-ing' | (100) |
| -shé/-z反́ | 'process of V-ing' | (101) |
| -pídá | 'thing that has already V-ed' < $p i$ ' 'to end' + tá 'emphatic nominalizer' | (102) |
| -nèjâ | 'thing that one habitually V-s' < nè 'to stay' + Păcâ 'fall, drop (n)' | (103) |
| $-1 \varepsilon>s a ̂$ | 'thing that is halfway through V-ing' < $1 \varepsilon$ ¢ 'hand' + Păsâ 'beginning' | (104) |

zăyei? 'expenses’ is an ordinary noun, and not a special head. Therefore, unlike the verb pyó 'speak' in (93), the verb sá 'eat' in (94) cannot take any argument, and (94) is ungrammatical, unlike (93). This is a crucial difference between the "V + Special head" and "verb + noun" compounds.
(94) *クà tù̀ nâzà sá-zăyeiß=kò pé=dè

1SG 3SG dinner eat-expenses=KO give=RLS
IM: 'I paid for his eating dinner.'

Table 10 shows special heads of frequent use, together with their meaning. Those above the single line, i.e., from Păcáun 'fact' to جăsâ 'beginning', can be used as a full noun, while the others, i.e., from -khàzâ 'time when one has just started V-ing' to -le?sâ 'thing that is halfway through V-ing', cannot be used as a full noun. The latter are represented with a hyphen in order to show this fact. The forms with a hyphen can be considered particles (i.e. enclitics) or suffixes. For four of the special heads, their formation is shown.

For the special heads that can be used as a full noun, the meanings that they have when they are used as a full noun are shown before the semicolon, while
those that they have when they are combined with a verb are shown after the semicolon.

When the forms with the first syllable $2 \breve{a}-$ are compounded with a verb, this syllable is dropped; e.g. (93) (cf. २ăt̃àN and -ddàN). This is for an etymological reason that is not directly relevant to the theme of the present paper. (?ă- is a nominalizing prefix. Compare the noun Păshóus 'end' and the verb shóun 'to end'.)

The form Păshóus 'be the most V' has a particular characteristic in that the nominalizing prefix 孔ă- is attached before the verb when it is compounded with a verb. See (96).

Compounds consisting of a verb and a special head are nouns in two respects. First, they cannot be followed by any verb sentence marker. Second, they can be followed by a case particle. However, they are not typical nouns. Namely, they cannot be modified by a demonstrative.

Examples follow. The following examples show that special heads can be followed by a case particle. ${ }^{2}$
(95) țù pha?-phô=gò yù là=dè

3SG read-to=KO take come=RLS
'He brought what he had to read.'
(96) țù Pă-caip-shóuN=gò yù là=dè

3SG A-like-most=KO take come=RLS
'He brought what he liked best.'
(97) tù yàngòun=gò yaup-sâ=hmà ŋà=n $\hat{\varepsilon} \quad$ twê=dè

3SG Yangon=KO arrive-beginning=at 1SG=with meet=RLS
'He met me soon after he came to Yangon.'
(98) tù yàngòun=gò yauß-khàzâ=hmà ŋà=n $\hat{\varepsilon}$ twê=d $\bar{\varepsilon}$

3SG Yangon=KO arrive-beginning=at $1 \mathrm{SG}=$ with meet=RLS
'He met me soon after he came to Yangon.'
(99) ŋà pyó-yòun=n $\hat{\varepsilon}$ tù ná $1 \grave{\varepsilon}=\mathrm{d} \grave{\varepsilon}$

1SG tell-only=with 3SG understand=RLS
'He understood it when I merely told it.'
(100) ŋà Peiß=nè-dóun=hmà tù là=dè

1SG sleep=PROG-process=at 3SG come=RLS
'He came while I was sleeping.'

2 Table 11 shows the special heads that can occupy the Noun slot of the MMC. Among these special heads, -yòun can only be followed by the case particle $=n \hat{\varepsilon}$ "with", and not by other case particles, whereas the other special heads can be followed by any case particle. In this respect, the nounhood of V-yòun can be said to be lower than that of the other special heads (listed in Table 11).
(101) ŋà Peî=nè-zé $=$ hmà tù̀ là=d $\varepsilon$

1SG sleep=PROG-process=at 3 SG come=RLS
'He came while I was sleeping.'
(102) tù lou?-píd̃á=gò yù là=dè

3SG do-already=KO take come=RLS
'He brought what he had finished.'
(103) tù̀ phaP-nèjâ=gò yù là=d $\varepsilon$

3SG read-habitually=KO take come=RLS
'He brought what he was habitually reading.'
(104) tù̀ phaP-lع?sâ=gò yù là=dè

3SG read-halfway=KO take come=RLS
'He brought what he hadn't finished reading.'
Consider Table 10. The last three entries among the special heads that can be used as a full noun and also all of the special heads that cannot be used as a full noun can occupy the Noun slot of the MMC. (Their use outside the MMC was exemplified above.) Table 11 shows the meanings that the MMC has when these special heads are used. The MMC generally has an aspectual (or possibly temporal) meaning. In addition, the MMC with २ăshóus 'be the most V' indicates degree, e.g. (106), and -yòun 'only do V-ing; have only to V' indicates limit or extent: 'only’, e.g. (109).

Tab. 11: Meanings of the MMC of Type 4.

| Noun |  | Meaning | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| アăphô | 'share: to V' | 'be about to V ' | (105) |
| Păshóun | 'end' | 'be the most $V$ ' | (106) |
| Păsâ | 'beginning' | 'have just V-ed' | (107) |
| -khàzâ/-gàzâ | 'beginning' | 'have just V-ed' | (108) |
| -yòus | 'only thing to V' | 'only do V-ing; have only to V' | (109) |
| -tóun/-dóus | 'process of V-ing' | 'be in the middle of V-ing' | (110) |
| -shé/-z'̇ | 'process of V-ing' | 'be in the middle of V-ing' (more formal than -tóus) | (111) |
| -pídá | 'thing that has already V-ed' | 'have finished V-ing' | (112) |
| -nèjâ | 'thing that one habitually V-s' | 'habitually V' | (113) |
| -lçsâ | 'thing that is halfway through V-ing' | 'have started V-ing, but have not finished it' | (114) |

Examples of special heads used in the MMC follow.
(105) [țù dì hín=gò sá]-bô(=b )

3SG this curry=KO eat-about.to(=EMP)
'He is about to eat this curry.'
(106) [t̃ù dì híN=gò Pă-caiß]-shóun(=bé)

3SG this curry=KO A-like-most(=EMP)
'He likes this curry the best of all.'
(107) [țù yàngòun=gò yau?]-sâ(=bé)

3SG Yangon=KO arrive-beginning(=EMP)
'He has just arrived in Yangon.'
(108) [tù̀ yàngòun=gò yau?]-khàzâ(=bદ́)

3SG Yangon=KO arrive-beginning(=EMP)
'He has just arrived in Yangon.'
(109) [țù dì hín=gò sá]-yòuv(=bé)

3SG this curry=KO eat-only(=EMP)
'He only ate this curry; He has only to eat this curry.'
(110) [ț̃̀ dì hín=gò sá=nè]-dóun(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO eat=PROG-process(=EMP)
'He is in the middle of eating this curry.'
(111) [tù̀ dì hín=gò sá=nè]-z $\hat{\varepsilon}(=b \varepsilon ́)$

3SG this curry=KO eat=PROG-process(=EMP)
'He is in the middle of eating this curry.'
(112) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-pídá(=bé)

3SG this curry=KO eat-already(=EMP)
'He has already finished eating this curry.'
(113) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-nèjâ(=bé)

3SG this curry=KO eat-habitually(=EMP)
'He is habitually eating this curry.'
(114) [țù dì hín=gò sá]-lє?sâ(=b $\varepsilon$ )

3SG this curry=KO eat-halfway(=EMP)
'He started eating this curry, but hasn't finished yet.'

Only the verbs shown in Table 12 can be used as an assisting verb in the MMC of Type 4; other verbs cannot.

Tab. 12: Assisting verbs for the MMC of Type 4.

| Noun |  | Assisting verb | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Păphô | 'be about to V ' | loup 'to do' | (115) |
| Păshóun | 'be the most V ' | phyip 'to be' | (116) |
| Păsâ | 'have just V-ed' | 6î 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (117) |
| -khàzâ/gàzâ | 'have just V-ed' | 6î 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (118) |
| -yòus | 'only do V-ing; have only to V ' | 6î 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (119) |
| -tóun/-dóun | 'be in the middle of V-ing' | 6î 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (120) |
| -sh $\bar{\varepsilon} /-z \bar{\varepsilon}$ | 'be in the middle of V -ing' | 6i 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (121) |
| -pídá | 'have finished V-ing' | phyip 'to be' | (122) |
| -nèjâ | 'habitually V' | 6î 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (123) |
| $-l \varepsilon>s a ̂$ | 'have started V-ing, but have not finished V-ing' | 6î 'to exist', phyip 'to be' | (124) |

Examples follow.
(115) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-bô loup=nè=dè

3SG this curry=KO eat-about.to do=PROG=RLS
'He is about to eat this curry.'
(116) [t̃ù dì híN=gò Pă-caî]-shóun phyiP=tè

3SG this curry=KO A-like-most be=RLS
'He likes this curry the best of all.'

3SG Yangon=KO arrive-beginning exist=RLS / be=RLS 'He has just arrived in Yangon.'
(118) [țù yàngòun=gò yau?]-khàzâ $\quad$ cil $=\mathrm{d}$ ह̀ / phyiP=tè 3SG Yangon=KO arrive-beginning exist=RLS / be=RLS 'He has just arrived in Yangon.'
(119) [țù dì hín=gò sá]-yòun $6 \hat{1}=\mathrm{d} \varepsilon$ / phyif=t $\varepsilon$

3SG this curry=KO eat-only exist=RLS / be=RLS
'He only ate this curry; He has only to eat this curry.'

3SG this curry=KO eat=PROG-process exist=RLS / be=RLS
'He is in the middle of eating this curry.'

3SG this curry=KO eat=PROG-process exist=RLS / be=RLS
'He is in the middle of eating this curry.'
(122) [ț̃̀ dì hín=gò sá]-pídá phyip=tè

3SG this curry=KO eat-already be=RLS
'He has already finished eating this curry.'
(123) [tù̀ dì hín=gò sá]-nèjâ $6 \hat{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{d}$ / / phyiP=t $\varepsilon$

3SG this curry=KO eat-habitually exist=RLS / be=RLS
'He is habitually eating this curry.'
(124) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-lє?sâ $6 \hat{1}=\mathrm{d} \varepsilon$ / phyif=t $\varepsilon$

3SG this curry=KO eat-halfway exist=RLS / be=RLS
'He started eating this curry, but hasn't finished yet.'

### 5.6 Summary of the Noun

Table 13 provides an exhaustive list of the forms that are attested in the Noun slot of the MMC. Nineteen forms have been found so far. Their use can be grouped into

Tab. 13: Exhaustive list of the forms used in the Noun slot.

| Noun |  | Semantic/functional category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type 1: V + =AN + Full noun |  |  |
| pòus | 'it seems that' | evidentiality |
| hàn | 'it seems that' | evidentiality |
| dăb | 'it is that' | discourse |
| shézé | 'be about to' | aspect |
| Type 2: V + =AN + Subordinate-noun |  |  |
| וò | 'it seems that' | evidentiality |
| lòlò | 'it looks as if' | evidentiality (to be precise, counterfactuality) |
| laup | 'hardly V, scarcely V' | degree |
| Type 3: $\mathrm{V}+=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$ or =hmà (nominalizer) |  |  |
| =tàl=dà | 'it is the case that' | discourse |
| =hmà | 'it is the case that' | discourse |
| Type 4: V + Special head |  |  |
| アăphô | 'be about to V' | aspect |
| Păshóun | 'be the most V' | degree |
| Păsâ | 'have just V-ed' | aspect |
| -khàzâ/-gàzâ | 'have just V-ed' | aspect |
| -yòus | 'only do V-ing, have only to V' | limit/extent |
| -tóun/-dóun | 'be in the middle of V-ing' | aspect |
| -shé/-ż́ | 'be in the middle of V-ing' | aspect |
| -pídá | 'have finished V-ing' | aspect |
| -nèjâ | 'habitually V' | aspect |
| $-1 \varepsilon$ ?sâ | 'have started V-ing, but have not finished it' | aspect |

four semantic/functional categories: evidentiality, aspect, discourse, degree, and limit/extent (cf. Vittrant 2005 for the semantic categories of the Burmese predicates). The table also shows these categories.

It is worth pointing out that the forms that have an aspectual meaning do not show a realis/irrealis opposition in the "=AN" of "V + (=AN) + Noun" (see (39)). In shézé 'be about to' of Type 1, only the irrealis adnominalizing marker $=m \hat{\varepsilon}$ can occur as the AN. All of the other forms that have an aspectual meaning belong to Type 4, in which no adnominalizing marker occurs; see (40)-(d).

### 5.7 Morphosyntactic properties of the MMC

In Section 5 thus far, we have examined the forms that can occupy the Noun slot of the MMC. We also considered certain morphosyntactic aspects of the MMC. The structure of each of the four types of the MMC is presented in (40). We shall recapitulate a few of these morphosyntactic aspects. We shall also consider a few other morphosyntactic aspects.

### 5.7.1 Copula of the MMC

As noted 4.1-[3] and -[4], in my opinion, Burmese does not have any verb that can be unequivocally regarded as a copula verb. But an "assisting verb" may occur after the predicate of a non-verb predicate sentence without changing the propositional meaning of the sentence. In the MMC, too, an assisting verb may occur in the Copula slot. Many examples have already been given.

### 5.7.2 Noun of the MMC

### 5.7.2.1 Morphological status of the Noun

The forms in the Noun slot are independent nouns in Type 1 (5.2). However, they are subordinate-nouns and (tentatively considered) enclitics in Type 2 (5.3), enclitics (and nominalizers) in Type 3 (5.4), and they may be considered enclitics or suffixes in Type 4 (5.5).

In view of the putative change shown in (62), it will be possible to say that Type 1 (which employs full nouns) is less grammaticalized, and that Types 2, 3 and 4 (which use enclitics or suffixes) are more grammaticalized. Regarding the morphological status of the forms in the Noun slot (i.e. full nouns vs. enclitics/ suffixes), the latter three types of the Burmese MMC are grammaticalized to a considerable degree.

### 5.7.2.2 Modification of the Noun

We now examine the noun-hood of the Noun. For this purpose, we examine whether the Noun can be modified by a demonstrative. As (4)-(c) shows, typical nouns in Burmese can be modified by a demonstrative, e.g. (124) (dì híN 'this curry'). In contrast, the Noun can in no way be modified by a demonstrative. See (125) to (128). Note in particular that even a full noun (e.g. pòus 'shape' in (125)) cannot be modified. In this respect, the forms in the Noun slot do not have a full status as nouns.

MMC of Type 1
(125) *[tù dì hín=gò sá=dê] Tع́dì pòun=b́

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN that shape=EMP
'(untranslatable)'

MMC of Type 2
(126) *[ț్̀ù dì híN=gò Rédì sá=jìn=dă]=lò=b $\varepsilon$

3SG this curry=KO that eat=want.to=AN=like=EMP '(untranslatable)'

MMC of Type 3
(127) *[tù jăpàN=gò măhlw $\varepsilon$ dràlô Pćdì là=yâ]=dà=b $\varepsilon$

3SG Japan=KO against.one's.will that come=must=TA=EMP
'(untranslatable)'
MMC of Type 4
(128) *[ț̃̀ dì híN=gò Rédì sá]-nèjâ=bé

3SG this curry=KO that eat-habitually=EMP
'(untranslatable)'

### 5.7.3 Predicate of the Clause of the MMC

As (40) shows, in Type 1 and Type 2, the verb of the Clause is followed by, and combined with, an enclitic adnominalizer, which is in turn followed by the Noun (a full noun in Type 1, and a subordinate-noun in Type 2). In Type 3, the verb is followed by, and combined with, the Noun (an enclitic nominalizer). In Type 4, the verb is compounded with the Noun. As seen in 4.1, verb predicate sentences must contain a verb sentence marker. In contrast, the Clause of the MMC cannot contain a verb sentence marker.

### 5.7.4 Can the Clause be used as a sentence by itself?

As seen in Section 1, Tsunoda (this volume-a) proposes that the prototype of the MMC has five properties, one of which is the following:
(d) The Clause can be used as a sentence by itself.

The Clause of the Burmese MMC cannot stand as a sentence on its own. As noted in 5.7.3, the Clause cannot contain a verb sentence marker.

### 5.7.5 Sentencehood of the Clause of the MMC

The Clause of the MMC does not have a full status as a sentence and it shows a lower degree of sentencehood than independent sentences. This is clearly shown by the fact that the Clause cannot be used as a sentence by itself. Specifically, the lower degree of the sentence-hood of the Clause is seen in facts such as the following.
[1] As noted in 4.1 and 5.7.3, verb predicate sentences must contain a verb sentence marker. However, as just seen, the Clause of the MMC does not, and cannot, contain a verb sentence marker.
[2] Sentences allow a sentence-final particle to occur at their end. For example, (129) has the sentence-final particle nj̀ in the sentence-final position. This sentencefinal particle indicates the speaker's request for the hearer's agreement. It is glossed as 'TAG'. In contrast, the Clause of the MMC cannot be followed by a sentence-final particle. See (40). The Clause (shown with square brackets) cannot be followed by a sentence-final particle in any of the four type of the MMC. As an example, see (130) (an instance of Type 1 in (40)-(a)). The Clause is followed by the sentencefinal particle ǹ̀, and the sentence is ungrammatical (and also untranslatable).
(129) tù̀ dì híN=gò sá=dè nò

3SG this curry=KO eat=RLS TAG
'He ate this curry, didn't he?'
(130) *[țù dì hín=gò sá=dê] ǹ̀ pòun(=bé)

3SG this curry=KO eat=AN TAG shape(=EMP)
'(untranslatable)'

## 6 Comparison of the MMC with other constructions

### 6.1 Introductory notes

We now compare the MMC with other constructions. In particular, we shall examine the following two issues.
(a) Does the MMC contain an adnominal clause?
(b) Is the MMC bi-clausal or mono-clausal?

The structure of the prototype of the MMC is as shown in (1). Superficially at least, it looks as if the prototypical MMC is bi-clausal. In some of the languages reported in the present volume, the Clause of the MMC looks similar to an adnominal clause ("AC") (or a relative clause) of the respective languages and consequently it looks as if the MMC is bi-clausal, with an AC as a subordinate clause. This applies to Burmese. The adnominalizers (cf. Table 2) are used in ACs (4.2.1) and in the MMC of Type 1 and Type 2 (see (40)). It may look as if these two types of the MMC are bi-clausal, with an AC as a subordinate clause.

However, languages such as Modern Standard Japanese (Tsunoda, this vol-ume-b, Section 6) and Korean (Kim, this volume, Section 6) yield evidence that their MMC does not contain an AC and that it is syntactically mono-clausal. (See Tsunoda (this volume-a, 3.4.2).) In view of this, it is important to examine the two issues listed above.

For Burmese, we shall compare the following constructions.
(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences (cf. 4.1).
(ii) MMC of Type 1 (cf. 5.2).
(iii) MMC of Type 2 (cf. 5.3).
(iv) MMC of Type 3 (cf. 5.4).
(v) MMC of Type 4 (cf. 5.5).
(vi) AC of the gap type (cf. 4.2.2).
(vii) AC of the addition type (cf. 4.2.3).

Verb-predicate sentences are chosen as the representative of independent sentences. It is necessary to consider mono-clausal sentences, since one of the aims of this comparison is to examine the issue (b) listed above.

When we look at the MMC, we shall mainly be concerned with the Clause, but we also look at the entire MMC.

We shall compare the constructions listed above in terms of the structure of the predicate (6.2) and syntax ( 6.3 to 6.6 ). The result of this comparison is shown in Table 14.

### 6.2 Predicate

(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences

The verb must be followed by a verb sentence marker (cf. Table 1), e.g. (11) to (15).
(ii) MMC of Type 1
(iii) MMC of Type 2

The verb of the Clause is followed by an adnominalizer (cf. Table 2) (which is in turn followed by the Noun). See (40)-(a), -(b).
(iv) MMC of Type 3
(v) MMC of Type 4

The verb occurs by itself within the Clause. See (40)-(c), -(d). (It is directly followed by the Noun.)
(vi) AC of the gap type
(vii) AC of the addition type

The verb is followed by an adnominalizer; see 4.2.2 and 4.2.3.

### 6.3 Topicalization

Burmese has the enclitic $=k \hat{a} d \hat{\jmath} /=g \hat{a} d \hat{\jmath}$, which I consider to be a contrastive topic marker. This form is a compound of the case particle $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$ 'agent' and the particle $=t \hat{\jmath} /=d \hat{\jmath}$ which indicates contrastiveness. The case particle $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$ can only follow the subject, e.g. (11), (12), but =kâd̂̂/=gâdô can follow non-subjects, e.g. (131). For this reason I consider $=k \hat{a} d \hat{\jmath} /=g \hat{a ̂ d \hat{\jmath}}$ a different word from $=k \hat{a} /=g \hat{a}$.
(131) ŋà dì sà?ou?=kâdô mă-pha?=phú=bú

1SG this book=CON not-read=experience=NEG
'I have never read this book (in contrast with other books).'
(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences

The contrastive topic marker =kâd̂̀/=gâd̂̂ ‘CON’ can occur, e.g. (131), (132).
(132) t țù=gâdô dì híN=gò sá=dè

3SG=CON this curry=KO eat=RLS
'He (in contrast with other people) ate this curry.'
(ii) MMC of Type 1
(iii) MMC of Type 2
(iv) MMC of Type 3
(v) MMC of Type 4

The contrastive topic marker =kâd̂̂/=gâdô 'CON’ can occur in the Clause of every type of the MMC. Examples are as follows. (ii) MMC of Type 1: (133). (iii) MMC of Type 2: (134). (iv) MMC of Type 3: (135). (v) MMC of Type 4: (136).
(133) [ț̃̀ $=$ gâdô dì híN=gò sá=d̂̂] pòuN=b $\varepsilon$ ́
$3 S G=C O N$ this curry=KO eat=AN shape=EMP
'It seems that he (in contrast with other people) ate this curry.'
(134) [țù $=$ gâdô dì híN=gò sá=jìn=dă]=lò=b $\varepsilon$
$3 S G=C O N$ this curry=KO eat=want.to=AN=like=EMP 'It seems that he (in contrast with other people) wants to eat this curry.'
(135) [ț̃̀ =gâdô jăpàn=gò măhlwédàlô là=yâ]=dà=b

3SG=CON Japan=KO against.one's.will come=must=TA=EMP
'It is the case that he (in contrast with other people) unwillingly came to Japan.'
(136) [ț̃̀ $=$ gâdô dì híN=gò sá]-nèjâ=bé
$3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{CON}$ this curry=KO eat-habitually=EMP
'He (in contrast with other people) habitually eats this curry.'
(vi) AC of the gap type
(vii) AC of the addition type

The contrastive topic marker =kâd̂̂/=gâd̂̂ 'CON’ cannot occur in (vi) ACs of the gap type (see (137)) or (vii) ACs of the addition type (see (138)).
(137) *trù=gâdô che?=t̂̂ hín=gò ŋà sá=dè
$3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{CON}$ cook=AN curry=KO 1SG eat=RLS
IM: 'I ate the curry that he (in contrast with other people) cooked.'
(138) *țù=gâdô ŋá kìn=dê ̉ănâN=gò ŋà yâ=dè

3SG=CON fish grill=AN smell=KO 1SG get=RLS
IM: 'I smelled the smell of him (in contrast with other people) grilling a fish.'

### 6.4 Gapping

It is convenient to start with ACs.
(vi) AC of the gap type

Gapping takes place in the formation of ACs of the gap type. For example, compare (27) with (28) to (30). All of the subject, the direct object and the indirect object are
present in (27). In contrast, the subject is absent in the AC of (28), the direct object is absent in the AC of (29), and the indirect object is absent in the AC of (30).
(vii) AC of the addition type

Gapping does not take place in the formation of ACs of the addition type. For example, compare (32) with (33). The subject and the object are present in the AC of (33), as is the case with (32).
(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences
(ii) MMC of Type 1
(iii) MMC of Type 2
(iv) MMC of Type 3
(v) MMC of Type 4

Gapping does not take place in the formation of these constructions.

### 6.5 One subject or two subjects?

Again, it is convenient to start with ACs.
(vi) AC of the gap type
(vii) AC of the addition type

Sentences with an AC may have two subjects: the subject of the AC and the subject of the main clause. This applies to both types of ACs. For example, in (139) ((vi) ACs of the gap type) and (140) ((vii) ACs of the addition type), tù ‘ 3 SG' is the subject of the AC , and $\eta \grave{a}$ ' 1 SG ' is the subject of the main clause.
(139) $\{$ țù $\operatorname{ch} \varepsilon$ ? $=$ t $\hat{\varepsilon}\}$ híN=gò ŋà sá=dè

3SG cook=AN curry=KO 1SG eat=RLS
'I ate the curry which he cooked.'
(140) \{țù ŋá kìn=dê\} アănâN=gò ŋà yâ=dè

3SG fish grill=AN smell=KO 1SG get=RLS
'I smelled the smell of him grilling a fish.'
(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences
(ii) MMC of Type 1
(iii) MMC of Type 2
(iv) MMC of Type 3
(v) MMC of Type 4

These constructions cannot have two subjects. They have just one subject. For example, (3) (the MMC) and (11) (a mono-clausal verb predicate sentence) each have just one subject: țù ‘3SG’.

### 6.6 Clefting

Clefting in Burmese involves the nominalizer =tà/=dà 'RLS' (realis) or =hmà (IRR) (irrealis) (cf. Table 9) and yields a construction which can be represented as follows. "X" represents the focus.
(141) ... V + =tà/=dà or =hmà X.

The subject will be focused on in the examples given below. In the case of ACs, it is the subject of an AC, not the subject of the main clause, that will be focused on.
(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences

Clefting is possible. Compare:
(142) tù dì híN=gò sá=dè

3SG this curry=KO eat=RLS
'He ate this curry.'
(143) dì hín=gò sá=dà țù=bé
this curry=KO eat=TA $3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{EMP}$
'It is he who ate this curry.'
(ii) MMC of Type 1

Clefting is possible. Compare:
(144) [ț̃̀ dì híN=gò sá=d̂̂] pòuN=b $\varepsilon$ 3SG this curry=KO eat=AN shape=EMP 'It seems that he ate this curry.'
(145) dì hín=gò sá=d̂ pòun yâ=dà țù=bé
this curry=KO eat=AN shape get=TA $3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{EMP}$ 'It is he who seems to have eaten this curry.'

Note that (145) contains the assisting verb $y \hat{a}$ 'to get'. Roughly speaking, a cleft version of the MMC must contain an adequate assisting verb. Thus, (145) contains $y \hat{a}$ 'to get', which is one of the two assisting verbs used with pòus 'shape' (see Table 5). (147) contains $6 \hat{\imath}$ 'exist', which is one of the two assisting verbs used with =lo 'like, as' (see Table 8). (149) contains phyip 'be', which is the only assisting verb for the MMC of Type 3 (see 5.4). (152) contains $6 \hat{\imath}$ 'exist', which is one of the two assisting verbs used with -nèjâ 'habitually’ (see Table 12).
(iii) MMC of Type 2

Clefting is possible. Compare:
(146) [ț̃̀ dì híN=gò sá=jìn=dă]=lò=b́

3SG this curry=KO eat=want.to=AN=like=EMP
'It seems that he wants to eat this curry.'
(147) dì híN=gò sá=jìn=dă=lò $6 \hat{\mathbf{l}}=\mathrm{dà} \mathrm{țù=b} \mathrm{\varepsilon ́}$
this curry=KO eat=want=AN=like exist=TA 3SG=EMP
'It is he who seems to want to eat this curry.'
(iv) MMC of Type 3

Clefting is possible. Compare:
(148) [tù jăpàn=gò măhlwédàlô là=yâ]=dà=b

3SG Japan=KO against.one's.will come=must=TA=EMP
'It is the case that he unwillingly came to Japan.'
(149) jăpàN=gò măhlw $\varepsilon$ dàlô là=yâ=dà phyi?=hmà tù̀=b Japan=KO against.one's.will come=must=TA be=HMA 3SG=EMP Intended and literal meaning: 'It is he who, it must have been the case that, unwillingly came to Japan.'
(149) is grammatical. It has the structure of cleft sentences shown in (141). (For the nominalizer slot, it employs the nominalizer =hmà 'IRR' (irrealis).) However, it sounds somewhat unnatural. This is probably because it sounds extremely formal. (As noted in 4.1, the use of an assisting verb makes the sentence somewhat more formal.) In daily conversation, (150), which does not employ the MMC, is used instead.
(150) jăpàn=gò măhlwédàlô là=yâ=dà tù $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$ ع

Japan=KO against.one's.will come=must=TA 3SG=EMP
'It is he who unwillingly came to Japan.'
(v) MMC of Type 4

Clefting is possible. Compare:
(151) [țù dì híN=gò sá]-nèjâ=bé

3SG this curry=KO eat-habitually=EMP
'He habitually eats this curry.'
(152) dì hín=gò sá-nèjâ $\quad$ î=dà tù
this curry $=$ KO eat-habitually exist=TA $3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{EMP}$
'It is he who habitually eats this curry.'
(vi) AC of the gap type

Clefting is impossible. (Recall that it is the subject of an AC, not the subject of the main clause, that is focused on.) Compare:
(153) \{tù che?=t̂̂\} hín=gò ŋà sá=dè

3SG cook=AN curry=KO 1SG eat=RLS 'I ate the curry which he cooked.'
(154) *ch $\varepsilon$ ? $=$ t $\hat{\varepsilon}$ híN=gò ŋà sá=dà țù=bé
cook=AN curry=KO 1SG eat=TA 3SG=EMP
IM: 'It is he whose curry I ate.'
(vii) AC of the addition type

Clefting is impossible. Compare:
(155) \{tù̀ ŋá kìn=dê\} RănâN=gò ŋà yâ=dè

3SG fish grill=AN smell=KO 1SG get=RLS
'I smelled the smell of him grilling a fish.'
(156) * $\mathfrak{y}$ á kìn=dê アănâN=gò ŋà yâ=dà tù̀=bé
fish grill=AN smell=KO 1SG get=TA 3SG=EMP
'(untranslatable)'

### 6.7 Relativization

This test is similar to the test of clefting. The subject will be relativized on in the examples given below. In the case of ACs, it is the subject of an AC, not the subject of the main clause, that will be relativized on.
(i) Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences

Relativization is possible. Compare (142) and:
(157) dì híN=gò sá=d̂ lù
this curry $=\mathrm{KO}$ eat=AN person
'the person who ate this curry.'
(ii) MMC of Type 1

Relativization is possible. Compare (144) and:
(158) dì hín=gò sá=d $\mathrm{\varepsilon}$ pòun yâ=d̂ lù
this curry=KO eat=AN shape get=AN person
'the person who seems to have eaten this curry'

Note that (158) contains the assisting verb yâ 'to get' (cf. Table 5). Like cleft versions of the MMC, ACs that correspond to the MMC require an adequate assisting verb. This applies to (159), (160), (161) and (163) as well.

Sentence (158) is grammatical, but it sounds somewhat unnatural because $=t \hat{\varepsilon} /=d \hat{\varepsilon}$ occurs twice. In order to avoid this, the verb and the noun pòus are compounded, as in (159). See (60) for compounding involving pòuN.
(159) dì hín=gò sá-bòun yâ=d̂ lù
this curry=KO eat-shape get=AN person
'the person who seems to have eaten this curry'
(iii) MMC of Type 2

Relativization is possible. Compare (146) and:
(160) dì híN=gò sá=jìn=dă $=$ lò $\quad$ lô= $\mathrm{d} \hat{\varepsilon}$ lù this curry=KO eat=want.to=AN=like exist=AN person 'the person who looks like he wants to eat this curry'
(iv) MMC of Type 3

Relativization is possible. Compare (148) and:
(161) jăpàN=gò măhlwédàlô là=yâ=dà phyiR=mê lù Japan=KO against.one's.will come=must=TA be=AN person Intended and literal meaning: 'the person who, it must have been the case that, unwillingly came to Japan'

Sentence (161) is grammatical, but somewhat unnatural. This is probably because it sounds extremely formal. In order to express the intended meaning in daily conversation, the sentence below without the MMC is used.
(162) jăpàn=gò măhlwédàlô là=yâ=dê lù Japan=KO against.one's.will come=must=AN person 'the person who unwillingly had to come to Japan'
(v) MMC of Type 4

Relativization is possible. Compare (151) and:
(163) dì híN=gò sá-nèjâ $\quad$ î=d $\hat{\varepsilon}$ lù this curry=KO eat-habitually exist=AN person 'the person who habitually eats this curry'
(vi) AC of the gap type

Relativization is impossible. Compare (153) and:
(164) *ch $\varepsilon$ ? $=t \hat{\varepsilon}$ hín=gò yà sá=d $\mathrm{\varepsilon}$ lù cook=AN curry=KO 1SG eat=AN person IM: 'the person who cooked the curry that I ate'
(vii) AC of the addition type

Relativization is impossible. Compare (155) and:
(165) * yá kìn=d $\hat{\varepsilon}$ RănâN=gò yà yâ=dê lù fish grill=AN smell=KO 1SG get=AN person
IM: 'the person who grilled a fish whose smell I smelled'

### 6.8 Discussion

The result of the comparison above is shown in Table 14.
The first criterion "Predicate" concerns a morphological aspect, while the other five criteria have to do with syntactic aspects.

In terms of the structure of the predicate of the Clause, the MMC of Type 1 and the MMC of Type 2 behave like ACs and unlike mono-clausal verb predicate senten-

Tab. 14: Comparison of the MMC with other constructions.

|  | Predicate | Topicalization | Gapping |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences | Verb=VSM | + | - |
| MMC of Type 1 | Verb=AN | + | - |
| MMC of Type 2 | Verb=AN | + | - |
| MMC of Type 3 | Verb | + | - |
| MMC of Type 4 | Verb | + | - |
| AC of gap type | Verb=AN | - | + |
| AC of addition type | Verb=AN | - | - |
|  | Two subjects | Clefting | Relativization |
| Mono-clausal verb predicate sentences | - | + | + |
| MMC of Type 1 | - | + | + |
| MMC of Type 2 | - | + | + |
| MMC of Type 3 | - | + | + |
| MMC of Type 4 | - | + | - |
| AC of gap type | + | - | + |
| AC of addition type | + | - | + |

[^0]ces. The MMC of Type 3 and the MMC of Type 4 behave unlike both mono-clausal verb predicate sentences and ACs.

In terms of the five syntactic criteria the four types of the MMC behave exactly like mono-clausal independent sentences, to the exclusion of ACs - except that ACs of the addition type behave like the MMC and mono-clausal independent sentences in that they do not involve gapping.

To sum up, in terms of the structure of the predicate, at least the MMC of Type 1 and the MMC of Type 2 behave like ACs and unlike mono-clausal independent sentences. As far as these two types are concerned, it may look as if the MMC contains an AC, that is, it may look as if the MMC is bi-clausal. However, syntactically the MMC - including Type 1 and Type 2 - behaves like mono-clausal independent sentences, almost entirely to the exclusion of ACs. That is, syntactically the MMC should not be regarded as a bi-clausal sentence, with an AC as a subordinate clause. It should be considered mono-clausal.

### 6.9 Compound predicate

We saw in 6.8 that syntactically the Burmese MMC should be considered monoclausal, not bi-clausal. Then, it should have just one predicate, not two predicates. We now investigate what structure the predicate has.

As seen in Section 1, the prototype of the MMC has the structure shown in (1) - superficially at least. However, languages such as Modern Standard Japanese (Tsunoda, this volume-b, Section 6) and Korean (Kim, this volume, Section 6) provide ample evidence that syntactically their MMC is mono-clausal, not bi-clausal, despite its superficial appearance (shown in (1)). Their MMC has just one predicate, not two predicates, and the predicate is a compound predicate that consists of the predicate of the Clause, the Noun and the Copula, as shown in (2).
(1) Prototype of the mermaid construction ("MMC"):
[Clause] Noun Copula
(2) [... predicate of Clause] Noun Copula.
compound predicate

The situation in the Burmese MMC is as follows. See (40). Burmese does not have a copula verb (4.1-[3]), and we shall exclude the Copula from the following discussion. It is convenient to start with Type 4.
(d) Type 4. The verb of the Clause and the Noun (a special head) form a compound word, and this is the predicate of this MMC.
(c) Type 3. The Noun slot is occupied by a nominalizer. The nominalizer is an enclitic, and it is clear that the verb of the Clause and the Noun form a unit. This is the predicate of this MMC.
(b) Type 2. The verb of the Clause is followed by an adnominalizer (an enclitic), which is in turn followed by a subordinate noun (an enclitic). It is clear that the verb and these two enclitics form a unit. This is the predicate of this MMC.
(a) Type 1. The verb of the Clause is followed by an adnominalizer (an enclitic). The Noun slot is occupied by a full noun, which is an independent word, not a clitic or affix. There is one piece of syntactic evidence to show that " $\mathrm{V}+=\mathrm{AN}$ " and the Noun form a unit. As seen in 5.7.2.2, a full noun in the Noun slot cannot be modified by any word. This shows that "V + =AN" and the Noun form a unit. This is the predicate of this MMC, and it is a compound predicate. As an example, the structure of (3) can be shown as follows. The compound predicate is shown with an underline. (The emphatic particle $=b \hat{\varepsilon}$ should be regarded as a member of this compound predicate. We shall return to this issue shortly.)
(166) [mănêgâ țù yăthá=n̂ cáun țwá=dê] pòun(=bé) yesterday 3 SG train=with school go=AN shape(=EMP) compound predicate
LT: '[He went to school by train yesterday] a shape.'
FT: 'It seems that he went to school by train yesterday.'
(The unacceptability of the modification of a noun in the Noun slot is one of the two pieces of evidence that Tsunoda (this volume-b, 6.11) provides to show that in the Japanese MMC the predicate of the Clause, the Noun and the Copula form a unit.)

Now, recall that the Noun of the MMC may be followed by an assisting verb. Examples include (52) to (55), (167) (Type 1), (81) to (83) (Type 2), (91), (92) (Type 3) and (115) to (124) (Type 4).
(167) [mănêgâ țù yăthá=n̂̂ cáun țwá=d̂̂] pòun yâ=dè
yesterday 3 SG train=with school go=AN shape get=RLS
compound predicate
'It seems that he went to school by train yesterday.'

There is evidence to show that an assisting verb - together with a verb sentence marker - is a member of the compound predicate of the MMC, as shown in (167). An independent word cannot intervene between the Noun and an assisting verb, although a type of enclitic can. Details are as follows.

Burmese has a group of particles that Okell (1969: 196) calls "sentence-medial postpositions" and Wheatley (1982: 97) calls "general postpositions", e.g. = $\bar{\varepsilon}$ ‘also, too', e.g. (168), =tàun ‘even', =țà ‘only', and =t̂̂ ‘at least'. They are enclitics.
(168) shăyà=lé khălé=gò hín pé=dè
teacher=also child=KO curry give=RLS
'The teacher, too, gave curry to the child.'
(The particle =l'́ 'also, too' is attached, for example, to nouns, verbs, and subordinate clauses. It appears to be attached to nouns most frequently. It does not occur in the sentence-final position.)

These particles can follow the Noun of the MMC, e.g.:
(169) [mănêgâ țù yǎthá=n̂ cáun țwá=dê] pòun=l反́ yâ=d $\varepsilon$ ह̀
yesterday 3 SG train=with school go=AN shape=also get=RLS
compound predicate
'It also seems that he went to school by train yesterday.'
In contrast with these particles (they are enclitics), independent words (and other enclitics) cannot intervene between the Noun and an assisting verb. This provides evidence that the Noun and the assisting verb form a unit.
(In (169), the enclitic $=l \bar{\varepsilon}$ 'also, too' is a member of this compound predicate. In view of this, in (166), the enclitic $=b \varepsilon$ 'EMP' might be considered a member of this compound predicate.)

## 7 Summary and concluding remarks

The MMC in Burmese can be grouped into four types in terms of the category of the Noun: (i) Type 1: "full nouns", (ii) Type 2: "subordinate-nouns" (i.e., nouns that can function like enclitics), (iii) Type 3: nominalizers (i.e., nominalizing clitics), and (iv) Type 4: "special heads" (they compound with the preceding verb; they are independent nouns, clitics, or possibly suffixes). Burmese may be somewhat uncommon in that it has as many as four types of MMC.

Semantic/functional categories of the MMC are evidentiality, aspect, discourse, degree, and also limit/extent.

Burmese does not have a copula verb. Nonetheless, the MMC may have an "assisting verb" in place of a copula verb.

Within the Clause, the verb is followed by an adnominalizer in Type 1 and Type 2, and it is immediately followed by the Noun in Type 3 and Type 4. That is, the Clause cannot contain a verb sentence marker. Consequently the Clause has a low degree of sentence-hood in this respect, and also the Clause cannot stand as a sentence on its own.

As far as Type 1 and Type 2 are concerned, the Clause behaves like ACs in that the verb is followed by an adnominalizer. It may look as if these two types of MMC are bi-clausal sentences with an AC in them. Syntactically, however, all the four types of MMC - including Type 1 and Type 2 - behave like mono-clausal verb predicate sentences, almost entirely to the exclusion of ACs. That is, syntactically the MMC should be considered mono-clausal, not bi-clausal.

There are nouns that have undergone (or seem to have undergone) the following change: independent word $\rightarrow$ enclitic or suffix. In the case of the three subordi-nate-nouns of Type 2, it is the enclitics, not the free nouns, that are used in the MMC.

It is probably possible to say that, regarding the morphological status of the forms in the Noun slot (i.e. full nouns vs. enclitics/suffixes), Type 1 (which uses full nouns) is not grammaticalized, but that Types 2,3 and 4 (which employs enclitics or suffixes) are grammaticalized to a considerable degree.

To the best of my knowledge, there is no previous study that has clearly pointed out that Burmese has what is termed the MMC in the present volume. Probably, many of the previous studies have simply considered it a noun-predicate sentence. Nonetheless, some of the previous studies including Okell and Allot (2001: 128) and Ohno (1983) suggested, in effect, that this construction is mono-clausal. Okell and Allot (2001: 128) state that the noun pòus 'shape', which is one of the nouns that form the MMC of Type 1 (see Table 4), is "perhaps in process of becoming a sentence final phrase particle". Ohno (1983: 253) classifies the expression pòun yâ (the noun meaning 'shape' + the verb meaning 'to get'; see Table 5) among auxiliaries. Their views imply that the construction in question is becoming mono-clausal.

What remains to be done in future research is to investigate how the MMC has developed in Burmese. In order to do this, we need research on old documents and comparative studies of various dialects.
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## Abbreviations

$\mathrm{A}=$ transitive subject; $\mathrm{A}=$ nominalizing prefix $२ \breve{a}-$; $\mathrm{AC}=$ adnominal clause; $\mathrm{AN}=$ adnominalizer or adnominalizing marker; $\mathrm{AN}(\mathrm{irr})=$ irrealis adnominalizer; $\mathrm{AN}(\mathrm{rls})=$ realis adnominalizer; $\mathrm{C}=$ consonant; $\mathrm{CON}=$ contrasted topic; EMP = emphasis; $\mathrm{FT}=$ free translation; $\mathrm{HMA}=$ nominalizer $=$ hmà; $\mathrm{IM}=$ intended meaning; IRR = irrealis modality; KA = case particle =k ${ }^{\prime} /=g \hat{a}$ 'agent (subject); source'; KO =
case particle $=k o ̀ /=g o ̀ ~ ' p a t i e n t ; ~ r e c i p i e n t ; ~ g o a l ' ; ~ L T ~=~ l i t e r a l ~ t r a n s l a t i o n ; ~ M M C ~=~ m e r-~$ maid construction; NEG = negation; $\mathrm{O}=$ object; $\mathrm{PL}=$ plural; $\mathrm{POL}=$ politeness; PROG = progressive; $\mathrm{Q}=$ question; RLS = realis modality; $\mathrm{S}=$ subject; $\mathrm{S}=$ intransitive subject; $\mathrm{SG}=$ singular; $\mathrm{T}=$ tone; $\mathrm{TA}=$ nominalizer $=t a ̀ /=d a ̀$; $\mathrm{TAG}=$ tag question; $\mathrm{V}=$ vowel; $\mathrm{V}=$ verb; VSM $=$ verb sentence marker; 1 = first person; 2 = second person; 3 = third person.
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[^0]:    Legend: +: possible or obligatory; -: impossible.

